

1.1.1. Contents

	1.1.1.	Contents	2
2.	Emplo	yer-Set Project assessment	3
	2.1.1.	Assessment objectives	3
	Employ	yer-Set Project mark distribution	5
	2.1.2.	General marking approach	6
3.	Markin	g grids	7
	3.1.1.	Task 1.1	7
	3.1.2.	Task 1.2	9
	3.1.3.	Task 1.3	15
	3.1.4.	Task 1.4	19
	3.1.5.	Task 2.1	23
	316	Task 2.2	26

2. Employer-Set Project assessment

2.1.1. Assessment objectives

The Employer-Set Project is assessed against five assessment objectives (AOs). The assessment objectives are mapped against each task within the marking grids:

	Assessment Objective
AO1	Plan their approach to meeting the project brief
AO2	Apply core knowledge and skills as appropriate
AO2a	o core knowledge
AO2b	o core skills
	 i) communication e.g. providing information and advice to customers and / or wider stakeholders on the potential risks of a change to an industrial system, or making a presentation to a stakeholder on the implications of change ii) work collaboratively with other team members and stakeholders e.g. to develop content to bid for a construction project iii) applying a logical approach to solving problems, identifying issues and proposing solutions e.g. through setting criteria for successful implementation of a system, using cost / benefit analysis of the introduction of new procedures or equipment iv) primary research e.g. obtaining measurements related to a design and / or customer requirement.
AO3	Select relevant techniques and resources to meet the brief
AO4	Use maths, English and digital skills as appropriate
• AO4a	o maths
• AO4b	o English
• AO4c	o digital

		Assessment Objective
AO5		Realise a project outcome and review how well the outcome meets the brief
•	AO5a	o realise a project outcome – was the right outcome achieved
•	AO5b	o review how well the outcome meets the brief, how well was the brief met, the quality of the outcome in relation to the brief

Employer-Set Project mark distribution

This table illustrates how the 100 marks for the Employer-Set Project are distributed against the tasks and mapped to each assessment objective. These have been set by subject matter experts and employers and will support the comparability between versions of the Employer-Set Project over time.

Tasks	AO1	AO2a	AO2b	AO3	AO4a	AO4b	AO4c	AO5a	AO5b	Total
				Pa	rt 1					
1.1 Research	2	3	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	9
1.2 Report	6	6	6	2	2	2	2	0	0	26
1.3 Project plan	4	10	6	2	2	0	0	0	0	24
1.4 Presentation	2	6	6	2	0	2	0	0	0	18
Total	14	25	18	8	4	4	4	0	0	77
				Pa	rt 2					
2.1 Collaborative problem-solving	0	6	5	2	0	0	0	2	0	15
2.2 Evaluation	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	4	8
Total	0	6	5	2	0	4	0	2	4	23
AO	14	5	4	10		16	-	(3	100

2.1.2. General marking approach

The following process details at high level the steps that will be undertaken by the external marking teams at City & Guilds following the submission of candidate's submitted evidence (including additional supporting evidence such as videos of presentations etc).

Process

- Marker scans / reads the candidates response, and the band descriptors
- · Marker makes an initial assessment of the best fit to band
- Marker reviews the candidate evidence against the initial band descriptor in more detail
 to decide if the response is securely sitting within the band; i.e. all characteristics
 described by the band descriptor are seen or it strongly meets the level of performance
 described by the descriptor holistically
 - o Marker will also check the descriptor for the level above
 - If evidence clearly shows some of the characteristics of the higher band, the marker will select a suitable mark at the bottom of that band
 - o If not showing characteristics of the higher band the marker will revert to the original band, selecting a mark at the higher end of that mark range.

If the response is not securely in the band, but *is partially* showing the characteristics of the band

- Marker will check the descriptor of the level below/above
- Marker will decide on a suitable mark either at the bottom of the original band as some characteristics shown, or top of the lower band if it better describes the quality of the characteristics being shown.

If the response is largely meeting the band, with only a few concerns and is not showing characteristics aligning with the higher or lower bands, the appropriate mark is likely to be in the middle range.

If there is no alignment with the descriptor, the marker will reassess the starting band, and begin again.

- Based on the level of alignment with the descriptor, the marker will confirm a final mark within the band bearing in mind the marks available form an evenly distributed scale:
 - If the quality of response fully aligns with the performance described by the descriptor – the marker will assign a high mark within the band
 - If the quality of the response partially aligns with the performance described by the descriptor – the marker will assign a low to medium mark within the band
 - The marker will consider the quality of a range of similar responses (e.g. annotated lead grade exemplification materials, responses reviewed during standardisation, and through experience) and choose a mark that would give an appropriate ranking amongst those responses in relation to the full range of marks available in each band.
- In order to fully assess the evidence, it may be necessary to focus on several distinct aspects. These have been grouped into separate sub-grids (e.g. 1.1, 1.2 etc.) to allow the marker to make separate assessment decisions, rather than attempt to bring disparate elements together as a holistic judgement, to support reliability, validity and manageability for the marker.

3. Marking grids

3.1.1. Task 1.1

Indicative content

Typical evidence may include:

Use of resources available with efficient methods employed such as identification of key internet sites rather than falling into advertising traps when researching via search engines.

Logging all resources used and good notation and collation of materials and removal of non-relevant information.

Notes showing evidence of suitable types of welfare facilities including toilets, restroom and/or storage facilities. Site administration buildings considered and researched. Site services for units considered as well as waste disposal measures from toilets and wastewater. Connection to main drainage or collection service considered.

Site service provisions researched including site temporary supplies and units for welfare and administration.

Other site services researched for gas provision and special storage requirements if needed.

Plans and brief studied to evaluate emergency access and egress including muster points relevant to access by emergency services not being impeded and how other activities such as site parking impact on this. How changing site conditions require review of plan at key stages.

Examples include: Evidence of research coverage of points including transportation, location, dimensions, maintenance and service provision as well as access by emergency services and muster points located to minimise. Use of available research tools and gathering of information systematically.

Note: where there is insufficient evidence to award a mark, a zero mark may be given	Band	1 desci	riptor	Band 2	descripto	r	Band 3	descriptor	AO1	Total marks available	
Task 1.1	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		9	
Research	Some e planned research order a organis Some e Core king referen may be and mo	evidence d approach, resul	e of a ach to ts lack s of e focus nced sed on	Approach of informa organised The appli is referen example legislation and healt considera Evidence used and sources of	to researce ation is pland. cation of Conced consist in relation to hand safet ations. of a range referenced considered. ills applied ing and colling and colli	h and collation aned and ore knowledge tently for o a, referencing y of sources I, with different consistently in	Brief reconstruction prior to a information of method to resear Systema research list of so standard Core known the brief	quirements fully and throughout ion collation — codical and thoroughout and informatic and compress conducted, inconducted, inconducted, inconducted in conducted in conducted in conducted inconducted in conducted in conducted in conducted in conducted inconducted in conducted i	research and clear evidence ough approach ation gathering. The cluding detailed the industry down all areas of	AO2a AO3 AO4c	

The following evidence must be used to assess performance against this assessment objective: Research notes, record of sources

3.1.2. Task 1.2

Indicative content

Typical evidence may include:

Details of required welfare facilities including number, dimensions and how these are to be maintained in terms of emptying waste or connection to draining; service provision and intended site location.

First aid provision to meet current HSE guidance based on anticipated number of personnel on site and who will be responsible for maintaining and/or administering.

Administration facility size and location including service provision and methods of transportation and location. Will these be incorporated with welfare facilities or stand alone?

Other site services needed for temporary electrical supplies, gas provision and special storage requirements.

Emergency access and egress including muster points relevant to access by emergency services not being impeded and how other activities such as site parking impact on this. How changing site conditions require review of plan at key stages.

AO1 Examples include: Coverage of points including types of facilities, location, dimensions. Access by emergency services and muster points locations

AO2 Examples include: Linking required welfare units and administration provision with required service provision such as water, drainage, electricity. Drainage collection or connection to main drainage. Linking of muster points and access by emergency services has been considered and dealt with. Logic applied to situations and plans.

AO3 Examples include: Recommendations meet the needs of the site and are suited to the site based on the information researched and site conditions.

AO4 Examples include: Use of dimensions on plans are considered. Dimensions of products reviewed in terms of location dimensions. Language used in report and technical terminology used where necessary. Digital skills including layout and formatting effective and clear.

Note: where there is insufficient evidence to award a mark, a zero mark may be given	Band 1 descr	riptor	Band 2 descr	iptor	Band 3 desci	riptor	AO	Total marks available
Task 1.2	1	2	3	4	5	6	AO1	6
Report	Evidence of a papproach to me which has some Most required e present and disother.	eeting brief, e structure. elements are	Evidence of a papproach to me which has a cle required eleme and distinct from	eeting brief, ear structure. All nts are present	Evidence of a r planned approa brief, which has clear structure. elements are p distinct from ea line with indust	ach to meeting a a logical and All required resent and ch other and in		

Note: where there is insufficient evidence to award a mark, a zero mark may be given	Band 1 descriptor			Band 2	2 desc	riptor	Band	3 desc	riptor	Band 4	descrip	AO	Total marks available	
		1	1											
Task 1.2	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	AO2a	12
Report	Some e	lement	s of	Most elements of Core			Knowle	dge an	d skills	Core kno	wledge a	and Core	AO2b	
	Core kn	owledg	ge and	knowled	knowledge and Core			ross th	e Core	skills app	lied con	sistently		
	Core skills drawn on and evidenced			skills sp	ecifical	ly	applied	and ev	ident in	througho	ut respo	nse with		
				evidend	ed with	in	report i	n relatio	on to	minimal t	echnical			
	within re	eport - I	limited	report -			differer	it eleme	ents of	inaccura	cies.			
	comprel	hensio	n of	compre	hensior	n of	project	brief.						
	knowled	dge and	d skills	knowled	dge and	l skills				Connecti	ons betw			
	in relation	on to bi	rief	may ha	ve gaps	s or	Clear li	nks app	olied	elements	of Core			
	requiren	nents.		show so	ome		from research are demonstrated in the report and are accurate. Judgements			knowledg	ge and C			
				misund	erstand	ing in				exploited	to stren			
	Some li	nks to t	the	relation	to brief	•				argumen	ts and de			
	applicat	ion of (Core	requirer	ments.					understa	nding.			
	knowled	dge and	d Core				are log	ical with	1					
	skills to	suppoi	rt	Links to	the		compre	hensive	e lines	Thorough	n and de	tailed links		
	judgeme	ents, bi	ut	applicat	tion of (Core	of reas	oning		from rese	earch are)		
	reasonir	ng is no	ot	knowled	dge and	Core	through	out.		demonst	rated in t	he report		
	always	clear a	nd	skills to	justify	and				and are a	accurate.			
	accurate.			support	judgen	nents,	Concepts			Judgeme	ents are l			
				but with	gaps or	explained/referenced			compreh	ensive liı				
				inaccuracies in			clearly and correctly.			reasoning throughout.				
				reasoni	ng.									

Note: where there is insufficient evidence to award a mark, a zero mark may be given	Band 1 descriptor	Band 2 descriptor	AO	Total marks available
Task 1.2	1	2	AO3	2
Report	Information sources may not be fully exploited and may be applied inconsistently in response to meeting the brief with only limited sources	Techniques and sources used effectively to respond to requirements of the brief.		
	used.	All available techniques and sources reviewed and compared to ensure those selected most		
	Some techniques and/or sources selected from those available to respond to brief requirements.	effectively and efficiently meet all aspects of brief requirements.		

Note: where there is insufficient evidence to award a mark, a zero mark may be given	Band 1 desci	riptor	Band 2 descripto	or	Band 3 desci	riptor	AO	Total marks available
Tools 4.0	4						1045	
Report	Some, limited mathematical cand calculation estimating, means etc) applied appropriately. Response lang contains typogrimprecisions which indicate limited or a less structural approach. A digital option	s (e.g. asuring uage raphical hich proofing ured	A range of mathems and calculations ap correct solutions ac Working may be inclonghand/inefficient and workings inconshown. Evidence of attemplanguage conventionand grammar – respondent contain colloquialism incorrect terminological.	plied with hieved. correct or ly expressed sistently ts to follow ns, spelling conse may ms, jargon or ly etc.	concepts applied consistently with consideration of checking working correct results. English is clear throughout responded with consideration of the conventions. All available dig	of accuracy and ongs to ensure and eloquent conse with ors. Language ideration of industry	AO4a AO4b AO4c	6
	considered or a as part of respo though use ma presentation of	onse y hinder	Consideration and udigital options to stresponse.		considered and effectively in lir standards. Digi used effectively	ne with industry tal techniques		

The following evidence must be used to assess performance against the assessment objectives within this task.

- Report including sources/references
- Record of sources

Note that this version of the ESP brief and tasks do not specifically require application of mathematical approaches, concepts or calculations so this aspect of the marking grid should not be taken into consideration during marking.

3.1.3. Task 1.3

Indicative content

Typical evidence may include:

Use of the key stages set out in the project brief.

Amalgamation of the key stages with the chosen specialism. Key timings fully considered including anticipated timings of specialism chosen.

Details of other trades including potential risks such as clashes or competition for space (coordination).

Details of any specialist equipment and/or deliveries such as access equipment at key stages. Coordinating space/storage.

Details of risks or potential 'pressure points' and how they could be avoided or minimised, for example delays to material orders or lack of qualified and suitable staff to complete key tasks.

Details of how services may have an impact upon others on site (e.g. electricity supplies due to work on main supplies).

Duration of tasks and how these durations impact on the overall contract period.

Analysing risks to contract durations including potential risks from external factors such as weather or changing site conditions.

How some tasks might depend on another, to aid their completion.

Methods of waste management identified and considered in line with the Site Waste Management Plans Regulations.

Examples include: Consideration and planning of all tasks involved in the specialism from start to commissioning. Logical sequence of tasks. Consideration of equipment requirements. Findings meeting the needs of the plan without causing delays or conflicts. Use of data analytics.

AO2 Examples include: Linking stages in main construction plan to the specific tasks for specialism. Logical approach to problems and risks. Effective research and holistic view of all site activities and how the specialism chosen fits the plan and where appropriate, solutions given to potential clashes or delays.

Note: where there is insufficient evidence to award a mark, a zero mark may be given	Band 1 des	scriptor	Band 2 de	scriptor	Band 3 d	escriptor	Band 4 des	scriptor	AO	Total marks available
Task 1.3	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	AO1 AO3	8
Project plan	Limited appropriate of the required the required There is limited justification selection of techniques (e.g. equipment contractors and material (including does used. The made are not the most ef appropriate prescribed brief.	ning f some of d elements. nited for the f, resources ment,), methods, als disposal) to he choices not always fective or e for the	Plan contained elegical order consideration deadline. Techniques resources dequipment, contractors from those to respond requirement choices may mostly accomply acc	ements in er with on of s and/or (e.g. s), selected available to the brief ats. The ade are urate and er for the	Clear evice planning considerar layout. Selection technique resources equipmer contracto methods materials (including disposal) accurate, appropriate some justice.	of es, s (e.g. at, rs), and are te, d with	approach to evidence of plan that is industry state and effective prioritised. There is a contract and justified to the select resources, and material (including of The choice accurate and appropriate prescribed brief.	o plan with f a detailed in line with andards vely detailed d approach ction of methods als disposal). s made are and e for the	AO4a	

Cons	nsideration of some	Consideration of all	to meeting project		
heal	lth and safety	health and safety	brief.	Consideration of all	
aspe	ects.	aspects in limited		health and safety	
		detail.	Consideration of	aspects fully with	
			all health and	relevant reference to	
			safety aspects	impacts, implications	
			fully.	etc.	

Note that this version of the ESP brief and tasks do not specifically require consideration of health and safety aspects, so this part of the marking grid should not be taken into consideration during marking.

Note: where there is insufficient evidence to award a mark, a zero mark may be given	Band 1 descriptor			Band 2 descriptor			Band 3 descriptor			Band 4 descriptor			AO	Total marks available				
Task 1.3	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	AO2a	16
Project plan	knowl skills project compl knowl relation	edge a referen ct plan - rehensi	nd skill ief	e thin d	know highli refere proje know may show	ledge ghted enced ct plar ledge have g	evider japs oi	y f nced	acro app evic plar diffe	oss lied lent in eren	edge from the Co and in pro- relation t elem ect brie	ject n to ents	consi project techn Conn eleme know full er effect demo	stently ct with nical in ection ents of ledge nsuring	s betw f Core exploit g plan ss and ing full	ghout acies. veen ted in	AO2b	

The following evidence must be used to assess performance against the assessment objectives within this task.

- Programme of work
- Supporting statement

3.1.4. Task 1.4

Indicative content

Typical evidence may include:

Presentation featuring all points in the brief including:

- Site safety- this should include site general rules such as PPE requirements, smoking points, specific hazard zones, prohibition zones
- Emergency plans- including 'what to do in the event of...', how not to block emergency services, muster points, access control procedures, parking not blocking access.
- Timings based on the planning- including key times and labour requirements. Specialist contractor or equipment timings, risks from external influences.
- Identification of risks to planned timings- including risks of conflict and how these should be managed, identification of problems with solutions.
- How the changing environment affects all considerations.

How responses to questions were delivered including clear and informative responses. Responses using techniques to check understanding, demonstration of understanding through research and understanding.

Examples include: Detailed and structured presentation with logical approach and use of language to be informative but technical where required. Distinctions between mandatory requirements and desired outcomes. Use of digital skills to provide a layout and formatting that highlights key areas while not confusing audience. Pitched at correct level.

AO2 Examples include: Linking stages in main construction plan to the specific tasks for specialism. Logical approach to problems and risks. Effective research and holistic view of all site activities and how the specialism chosen fits the plan and where appropriate, solutions given to potential clashes or delays. Communication techniques suitable for audience with clear delivery. Problems highlighted and communicated with techniques for gauging understanding of audience such as Q&A. Coherent answering of questions showing understanding of the subjects.

Note: where there is insufficient evidence to award a mark, a zero mark may be given	Band 1 descr	iptor	Band 2 descr	iptor	Band 3 desci	riptor	AO	Total marks available
Task 1.4	1	2	3	4	5	6	101	6
145K 1.4	1	2	3	4	5	6	AO1	0
Presentation	The presentation	n lacks	The presentation	n is structured	The presentation	on is detailed,	AO3	
	structure and do	oes not always	and follows a lo	gical approach	structured and	logical in its	AO4b	
	follow a logical	approach due	most of the time	e in response to	approach. It is	clear that the		
	to ineffective pla	anning.	the task becaus	se of effective	presentation ha	as been		
			planning.		considered in to	erms of its		
	Technique used	to deliver the			audience as a ı	result of		
	presentation is	sometimes	Techniques use	ed to deliver the	detailed and ef	fective		
	effective. Howe	ver technical	presentation are	e mostly	planning.			
	information is n	ot always	effective. The te	echnical				
	complete and a	ccurate.	information prov	vided is	Techniques use	ed to deliver the		
			accurate most of	of the time with	presentation ar	e effective with		
	Terminology us	•	valid reasoning		well justified rea	asoning behind		
	inaccuracies an	d content			the information	provided.		
	provided may in	nclude	Terminology us	ed is mostly				
	grammatical inc	consistencies	accurate with m	ninor errors.	Terminology us			
	and therefore not clear to the targeted audience.			vided is in the	and error free.			
				cally correct but	provided is clea			
			does not always	s consider	grammatically of			
			target audience		easily understo			
					audience.			

Note: where there is insufficient evidence to award a mark, a zero mark may be given	Band 1 descriptor				Band	2 desci	iptor		Band	3 descr	iptor	AO	Total marks available	
Task 1.4	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	AO2a	12
Presentation	to the Core sketche present always directly required Communication and the effective technic	Core know kills conversely sentation ays be a linked to ments. unication cories is e. The dal information and core and	oncepts wledge a veyed the respective the brid of concepts of conc	end rough may or be ef epts ess of ay lack	to the Core s through meet th brief se Conce commu of the t manne audien inaccui	pts and tunicated time in an er for the ce. Ther racies in altion which clarity in	heories a effective a approptiate are militate delivents delivers.	and ation to of the are ly most riate nor very of	to the C Core shall clear juthese at to the base of th	es and concern and concern application effective effective enication erries is get audies ation is partial and enterior and enterior entorior enterior enterior enterior entorior enterior entorior entor	wledge coherent ns on ho ed in rest irement of conce appropri	and t with ow sponse epts iate for chnical	AO2b	

The following evidence must be used to assess performance against the assessment objectives within this task.

- Presentation materials e.g. slides, handouts etc
- Research notes
- Report including sources/references
- Video recording of presentation
- Assessor observation record

3.1.5. Task 2.1

Indicative content

Typical evidence may include:

A comparison of advantages and disadvantages of systems.

Risks to the project based on each system.

Recommended a power source of choice with justifications and factors considered including timing, initial cost and long term costs.

How students collaborate to solve the issue.

Structure, layout and flow of email draft (evidence content).

Style of communication both written (draft email) and verbal (discussions).

Each candidate will be awarded marks in terms of both their contribution to the discussion and email draft. As part of this, in awarding marks a judgement will be made on how the candidate has collaborated with other group members in discussions.

Examples include: Contribution to discussion with reasoned and balanced views. Keeping discussion relevant to the tasks at hand and not being distracted by irrelevant factors. Communication skills, clear, precise with knowledge through research. Good questioning used where doubt may exists. Structured email with digital skills displayed in terms of formatting and presentation. Outcome realised and solutions provided that meet project requirements.

Note: where there is insufficient evidence to award a mark, a zero mark may be given	Band 1 descriptor					Band 2 descriptor					Band 4 descriptor					AO	Total marks available
Task 2.1	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	AO2a	15
Collaborative	Some	active	contrib	utions r	nade	Active	ly cont	ributed	throug	nout	Levels	of cor	ntributi	ons to		AO2b	
problem-	to disc	cussion	althou	gh may	lack	the task to discussions. Methods					discussions were high					AO3	
solving	logica	l structi	ure of a	rgumer	nt.	proposed in solving the issue were relevant, logical, technically					throughout all points of the task. Contributions and responses to					AO5a	
	Ideas	put for	ward m	ay lack	some												
	technical accuracy and on occasions limit progress of the task.					correct and thought through most					solving the problem were logical,						
						of the time so progress in the					methodical, and well thought						
						task was made but not always					through. All technical information						
					timely.					was accurate, resulting in							
	Comn	nunicati	ion skill	s were	not	Communication skills are					effecti	ve and	timely	SS			
	alway	s appro	priate a	and wo	uld						being made.						
	speak	over o	thers o	r levels	of	appropriate and are clear most of											
	engagement with others was low			s low	the time with a willingness to discuss some details in other's contributions that supports					Communication skills are well							
	again impacting on progress of the task. Evidence content lacks structure, flow and is limited in how it meets the issues raised in the									s of	develo	oped ar	nd clea				
											probin	g ques	stions o	in the			
						progre	ess in tl	ne task	Levels	of	group	that br	ings a	ails			
					engag	ement	with ot	ners wa	as		upports		ress				
					gener	ally cor	nsistent	throug	hout.	in the task. Levels of							
											ement						
	task. I	task. It is not clear that the					Evidence content is structured,					tion of	wantin	e the			
	propo	sed me	thods v	vill addı	ess	flows and mostly addresses the					lead throughout but in a						
	the iss	sue.				issues	task.		measured way.								

Proposed methods will go some		
way to addressing these issues	Evidence content is structured,	
in the task and have some form	flows and clearly addresses all	
of reasoning to them.	issues raised in the task.	
	Proposed methods for	
	addressing the issues are	
	effective and well justified.	

The following evidence must be used to assess performance against the assessment objectives within this task.

- Written discussion notes
- Drafted email
- Video recording of discussions
- Assessor observation record: notes on contributions to discussions

3.1.6. Task 2.2

Indicative content

Typical evidence may include:

Reflection on effectiveness and success of responses across project tasks.

Considered analysis and evaluation of project outcome.

Examples include: All tasks fully considered with honest and justified accounts reflected in line with the brief of the project and each task including outcomes. Use of language, including technical, and presentation displays digital skills including format and layout.

Note: where there is insufficient evidence to award a mark, a zero mark may be given	Band 1 descriptor		Band 2 de	scriptor	Band 3 des	criptor	Band 4 des	criptor	AO	Total marks available
Task 2.2	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	AO4b	8
Evaluation	The evaluation not clearly how well the outcome mand lacks or reasoning if the outcome liming requirements brief succession.	address e project et the brief clarity and n places. ck of detail project nked to the	The evaluat addresses in project outcome achieved. The some reason justification effectively the met. Detail provide the project of linked to the requirement brief with some explanation reasons.	now well the ome was here is n and in how he brief was ded on how outcome as of the ome	project outcor the requirement brief with deta	ddresses how ct outcome I. There is oning behind fully the was met. ed on how the me linked to ents of the	The evaluation comprehensive specifically accommendate well the project met the requirement the brief. The reasoning belongers was met successfully the brief was met successful and the quality and effectiveness outcome.	de and deresses how controlled to the controlled rements of the re is detailed which has been decided and the project of the controlled reflective of the derest of the de	AO5b	

The following evidence must be used to assess performance against the assessment objectives within this task.

• Evaluation account





Get in touch

City & Guilds Technicals Quality Team

We are here to answer any queries you may have regarding your T Level Technical Qualification delivery.

Should you require assistance, please contact us using the details below:

T: 0300 303 53 52 (Monday - Friday | 08:30 - 17:00 GMT)

E: technicals.quality@cityandguilds.com

W: cityandguilds.com/tlevels

The T Level is a qualification approved and managed by the Institute for Apprenticeships and Technical Education.

Copyright in this document belongs to, and is used under licence from, the Institute for Apprenticeships and Technical Education, © 2022. 'T-LEVELS' is a registered trademark of the Department for Education. 'T Level' is a registered trademark of the Institute for Apprenticeships and Technical Education. 'Institute for Apprenticeships & Technical Education' and logo are registered trademarks of the Institute for Apprenticeships and Technical Education.

We make every effort to ensure that the information contained in this publication is true and correct at the time of going to press. However, City & Guilds' products and services are subject to continuous development and improvement, and the right is reserved to change products and services from time to time. City & Guilds cannot accept responsibility for any loss or damage arising from the use of information in this publication.

The City & Guilds of London Institute. All rights reserved. City & Guilds is a trademark of the City & Guilds of London Institute, a charity established to promote education and training registered in England & Wales (312832) and Scotland (SC039576). City and Guilds Group Giltspur House, 5–6 Giltspur Street London EC1A 9DE



