





Contents

| Contents | 1 |
|--|----|
| Employer-set project assessment | 2 |
| Assessment objectives | 2 |
| Employer-set project mark distribution | 3 |
| General marking approach | 4 |
| Marking grids | 5 |
| Task 1.1 | 5 |
| Task 1.2 | 6 |
| Task 1.3 | 13 |
| Task 1.4 | 16 |
| Task 2.1 | 19 |
| Task 2.2 | 22 |
| | |

| Version and date | Section | Change detail |
|--------------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| 1.1 September 2023 | Front cover | Rebranded |
| | Throughout | Footers updated |
| | Back cover | Contact details added |



Employer-set project assessment

Assessment objectives

The employer-set project is assessed against five assessment objectives. The assessment objectives are mapped against each task within the marking grids:

| | Assessment Objective |
|--------------------------|---|
| AO1 | Plan their approach to meeting the project brief |
| AO2 | Apply core knowledge and skills as appropriate |
| AO2a | o core knowledge |
| AO2b | o core skills |
| | i) communication e.g. providing information and advice to customers and / or wider stakeholders on the potential risks of a change to an industrial system, or making a presentation to a stakeholder on the implications of change ii) work collaboratively with other team members and stakeholders eg to develop content to bid for a construction project iii) applying a logical approach to solving problems, identifying issues and proposing solutions eg through setting criteria for successful implementation of a system, using cost / benefit analysis of the introduction of new procedures or equipment iv) primary research eg obtaining measurements related to a design and / or customer requirement. |
| AO3 | Select relevant techniques and resources to meet the brief |
| AO4 | Use maths, English and digital skills as appropriate |
| AO4a | o maths |
| • AO4b | o English |
| AO4c | o digital |
| AO5 | Realise a project outcome and review how well the outcome meets the brief |
| • AO5a | o realise a project outcome – was the right outcome achieved |
| • AO5b | review how well the outcome meets the brief, how well was the brief met, the quality of the outcome in relation to the brief |



Employer-set project mark distribution

This table illustrates how the 100 marks for the employer-set project are distributed against the tasks and mapped to each assessment objective. These have been set by subject matter experts and employers and will support the comparability between versions of the employer-set project over time.

| Tasks | A01 | AO2a | AO2b | AO3 | AO4a | AO4b | AO4c | AO5a | AO5b | Total |
|-----------------------------------|-----|------|------|-----|-------|------|------|------|------|-------|
| | | | | Pa | art 1 | | | | | |
| 1.1 Research | 2 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 1.2 Report | 6 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| 1.3 Project plan | 4 | 10 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| 1.4 Presentation | 2 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| Total | 14 | 25 | 18 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 77 |
| | | | | Pa | art 2 | | | | | |
| 2.1 Collaborative problem-solving | 0 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 15 |
| 2.2 Evaluation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 8 |
| Total | 0 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 23 |
| AO | 14 | 5 | 4 | 10 | | 16 | | (| 3 | 100 |



General marking approach

The following process details at high level the steps that will be undertaken by the external marking teams at City & Guilds following the submission of candidate's submitted evidence (including additional supporting evidence such as videos of presentations etc.);

Process

- Marker scans / reads the candidates response, and the band descriptors
- Marker makes an initial assessment of the best fit to band
- Marker reviews the candidate evidence against the initial band descriptor in more
 detail to decide if the response is securely sitting within the band; ie all characteristics
 described by the band descriptor are seen or it strongly meets the level of
 performance described by the descriptor holistically
 - Marker will also check the descriptor for the level above
 - If evidence clearly shows some of the characteristics of the higher band, the marker will select a suitable mark at the bottom of that band
 - If not showing characteristics of the higher band the marker will revert to the original band, selecting a mark at the higher end of that mark range

If the response is not securely in the band, but *is partially* showing the characteristics of the band

- Marker will check the descriptor of the level below/above
- Maker will decide on a suitable mark either at the bottom of the original band as some characteristics shown, or top of the lower band if it better describes the quality of the characteristics being shown

If the response is largely meeting the band, with only a few concerns and is not showing characteristics aligning with the higher or lower bands, the appropriate mark is likely to be in the middle range.

If there is no alignment with the descriptor, the marker will reassess the starting band, and begin again.

- Based on the level of alignment with the descriptor, the marker will confirm a final mark within the band bearing in mind the marks available form an evenly distributed scale:
 - If the quality of response fully aligns with the performance described by the descriptor – the marker will assign a high mark within the band
 - If the quality of the response partially aligns with the performance described by the descriptor – the marker will assign a low to medium mark within the band
 - The marker will consider the quality of a range of similar responses (eg annotated lead grade exemplification materials, responses reviewed during standardisation, and through experience) and choose a mark that would give an appropriate ranking amongst those responses in relation to the full range of marks available in each band.
- In order to fully assess the evidence, it may be necessary to focus on several distinct aspects. These have been grouped into separate sub-grids (eg 1.1, 1.2 etc. to allow the marker to make separate assessment decisions, rather than attempt to bring disparate elements together as a holistic judgement, to support reliability, validity and manageability for the marker.



Marking grids

Task 1.1

Indicative content

Typical evidence may include:

Lists of types of development work that are covered by notifiable works, detail of regulations for example - CDM regs, HSE regs, manual handling etc.

Legislation and regulation details, versions and amendments – sources, guidance available, potential implications of non-compliance with legislation (e.g. cases of non-compliance, fines/recourse etc.).

Impacts relating to this specific development, with examples from similar projects, accounts, articles etc. – impacts in terms of costs, materials, equipment, training, inspection schedule etc. Also consideration of possible opportunities and any advantages of early identification/planning, actions to be taken etc.

Projects or buildings where notifiable works legislation and requirements have been successfully considered, with details of how etc. Details of information that would be required but has not yet been possible to source.

List of sources or referenced sites/materials.

Location of the project should not have an impact on marking, for example Scottish/Welsh/NI legislation are acceptable.



| Note: where there is insufficient evidence to award a mark, a zero mark may be given | Band | 1 descr | iptor | Band 2 | descripto | r | Band 3 desc | criptor | | AO | Total marks available |
|--|---|---|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|---------------------|-----------------------------|
| Task 1.1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | AO1 | 9 |
| Research | planned research order a organis Some e core kn referen may be and mo one are (e.g. for environ | ation. elements owledge ced but imbalar ore focus ea than a cus on mental s more tl | och to as lack a of a focus aced aed on another | The appli knowledge consistent relation to legislation referencing safety consistent safety consistent references ources | n/regulationing and hean hisideration of a range | on is sed. ore need mple in lth and s. of erent | and throughout collation – cleathorough apprinted information gas comprehensive including detaindustry stands. Core knowled brief requirem on building person beight, finant related to instantial to instantial to the collection of a stantial to the collection. | lents fully considut research and ar evidence of recach to research the research conciled list of source ards. ge applied in all ents including ents including erformance, enviocial benefits, law allation and mainfull range of source traditional source. | information nethodical and th and natic and ducted, les in line with areas of the costs, impacts fronmental ws/regulations ntenance. | AO2a AO3 AO4c | |

The following evidence must be used to assess performance against this assessment objective.

- Research notes
- Record of sources





Task 1.2

Indicative content

Typical evidence may include:

Exploration of notifiable works across different areas of the building project, gas, electrical and the impacts of these on the development, e.g. notification requirements, certification, use of qualified personnel. Identification of common and specific risks to project completion including the complications raised by the protection and preservation of the Victorian features, e.g. sourcing of appropriate materials, methods to use to protect during works and enhance post works completion. Sources that could be used for appropriate materials including, reclaimed/sustainable materials, and their benefits. Potential risks to the Victorian features as part of the development and at various stages – e.g. removal of materials/equipment across the tiled entrance way, dust and vibration damaging the fireplaces. The requirement to source expert advice and research period features to ensure they are treated sensitively. The importance of keeping the customer/client happy within reasonable parameters.

Use and application of maths in timing calculations / critical path analysis, measurements, interpretation of plan documents, estimations etc. Digital skills are considered alongside maths and English skills in the AO4 grid. Appropriate digital skills are dependent on the task requirements and do not necessarily need to include images, charts, diagrams, etc. To achieve top band, Digital techniques must be used effectively to add value.



| Note: where there is insufficient evidence to award a mark, a zero mark may be given | | | Band 2 descr | riptor | Band 3 des | scriptor | AO | Total marks available | |
|--|--|-------------------------------|--------------|--|---|---|---|-----------------------------|---|
| Task 1.2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | | 6 | AO1 | 6 |
| Report | Evidence of a papproach to me which has some Most required e present and disother. | eeting brief, e structure. | | eeting brief, ear structure. All nts are present | Evidence of planned app brief, which clear structure elements are distinct from line with indicate. | roach to has a log re. All red e present each oth | meeting ical and quired and er and in | | |



| Note: where there is insufficient evidence to award a mark, a zero mark may be given | Band 1 | descrip | otor | Band 2 descriptor | | | Band | 3 desc | riptor | Band 4 | 4 descr | iptor | AO | Total marks available |
|--|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|------|-----------------------------|
| Task 1.2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 5 6 | | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | AO2a | 12 | |
| Report | knowled skills dra evidenc limited of of know in relation requirer Some ling applicat knowled skills to judgement reasoning | lements of lige and comprehe ledge and comprehe led | core nd report - ension d skills f ee core | knowled skills specified completed knowled may hat show somisund relation required Links to of core core sk support but with | rehension dge and ve gaps ome erstanding to brief ments. The app knowled ills to just i judgem a some gacies in | core y n report n of skills or ng in clication ge and stify and ents, | from a applied in report from report accura Judger logical compressible of reast through | nks appesearch strated and are te. ments a with ehensive oning nout. | e core vident ation to ents of blied are in the re e lines | core sk consister responsite the connect element knowled skills existen gtl and del underst Thoroughinks from the connect and | se with ral inaccions betto of cordge and cording. The cordinate of the cordinate of the cording. The cordinate of the cord | ed oughout minimal uracies. etween re core to uments te detailed arch are n the accurate. e logical nsive | AO2b | |



| Note: where there is insufficient evidence to award a mark, a zero mark may be given | Band 1 descriptor | Band 2 descriptor | AO | Total marks available |
|--|---|--|-----|-----------------------|
| Task 1.2 | 1 | 2 | AO3 | 2 |
| Report | Information sources may not be fully exploited and may be applied inconsistently in response to meeting the brief with only limited sources used. | Techniques and sources used effectively to respond to requirements of the brief. | | |
| | Some techniques and/or sources selected from those available to respond to brief requirements. | All available techniques and sources reviewed and compared to ensure those selected most effectively and efficiently meet all aspects of brief requirements. | | |



| Note: where there is insufficient evidence to award a mark, a zero mark may be given | Band 1 descr | riptor | Band 2 descr | riptor | Band 3 desc | riptor | AO | Total marks available |
|--|---|------------------|---|----------------------|--|-----------------------|------|-----------------------------|
| Task 1.2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | AO4a | 6 |
| Report | Some, limited r | | A range of mat concepts and c | | Mathematical a concepts appli | approaches and | AO4b | |
| | estimating, mea | asuring etc) | applied with co achieved. | | consistently wi | th of accuracy and | AO4c | |
| | Response lang typographical in | • | Working may b longhand/ineffice | | correct results. | | | |
| | | limited proofing | expressed and inconsistently s | workings | English is clea throughout res minimal/no erro used with cons | ors. Language | | |
| | A digital option attempted as p though use ma | art of response | Evidence of att language conve spelling and gra | entions, | end user and in conventions. | ndustry | | |
| | presentation of | • | response may colloquialisms, incorrect termin | contain jargon or | All available di considered and effectively in lir standards. Dig | | | |
| | | ~ \ | Consideration a basic digital op strengthen resp | tions to | used effectively | y to add value. | | |

The following evidence must be used to assess performance against the assessment objectives within this task.

• Report – including sources/references



Task 1.3

Indicative content

Typical evidence may include:

Details of the key stages of the project in reference to the works as specified within the project specification with consideration of the stages outlined in the brief removal, preparation, installation, decoration, cleaning and disposal etc.

Optimising work processes and engaging with relevant mathematical/logical thinking. Consideration should be given on how the programme of works is presented to others.

Details of the trades required for the different elements of the project across the two specified areas of the project – bathroom and kitchen with potential to cover other aspects e.g. site management, induction of site workers as referenced in the specification materials.

Details of risks or potential 'pressure points' and how they could be avoided or minimised for example delays to material orders or lack of qualified and suitable staff to complete key tasks.

Supporting statement detailing current site health and safety requirements, how materials are moved on a construction site, a list of specialist equipment required to complete all tasks, details of proposed waste management plans in line with the Site Waste Management Plans Regulations.



| Note: where there is insufficient evidence to award a mark, a zero mark may be given | Band 1 des | scriptor | Band 2 descriptor | | Band 3 d | escriptor | Band 4 de | scriptor | AO | Total marks available |
|--|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|-------------|-----------------------------|
| Task 1.3 | 1 | 2 | 3 4 | | 5 | 5 6 | | 7 8 | | 8 |
| Project plan | Limited appropriate is some of the elements. There is limit justification of resources (elequipment, of methods, and (including distributed be used. The made are not most effective appropriate is prescribed p | ted for the techniques, e.g. contractors), d materials sposal) to e choices of always the ve or for the project brief. | of deadline. Techniques resources (e equipment, contractors) from those a respond to trequirement choices made mostly accurappropriate | logical consideration and/or e.g. , selected available to he brief is. The de are rate and for the project brief. on of all cafety | Clear evid planning consideral layout. Selection techniques resources equipment contractor methods a materials disposal) a accurate, appropriate supported justification meeting ported. Consideral health and aspects full | tion of of s, (e.g. t, s), and (including are e with some ns to roject tion of all I safety | Logical and approach to evidence of plan that is i industry star effectively p There is a d justified app selection of (e.g. equipm contractors) and materia disposal). The made are accompropriate prescribed p | plan with a detailed n line with ndards and rioritised. etailed and roach to the resources nent, , methods ls (including he choices ccurate and for the project brief. on of all safety with erence to | AO3 AO4a | |



| Note: where there is insufficient evidence to award a mark, a zero mark may be given | Band | 1 desc | criptor | | Band 2 descriptor | | | Band 3 descriptor | | | Band 4 descriptor | | | AO | Total marks available | | | |
|--|---|---|----------------|----------------|--|-------------------|---|----------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|---|---|----------------------|------|----|
| Task 1.3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | AO2a | 16 |
| Project plan | knowle referen plan - compr knowle relatio | edge an nced wit limited ehensic | d skills ef | skills ject | knowledge knowle | - knowl nced m | lirectly n brief vithin p ledge nay hav w some | re | acro appl in pr relat | wledg ss the ied ar oject ion to nents | e core nd evi plan i diffe | e ident in rent | consister project inaccional connection connection connection connection connection consister co | stently ct with uracies ections ents of | s betwe core exploite plan s and ng full | nout inical en | AO2b | |

The following evidence must be used to assess performance against the assessment objectives within this task.

- Program of work plan
- Supporting statement



Task 1.4

Indicative content

Typical evidence may include:

Coverage of the required elements of the presentation as identified in the task outline:

- potential impacts of the notifiable works within the project staff required, reporting, effects on time/costs works possible, inspection and recording/records and reporting
- risks to the project being completed within the 6 month timeframe access issues as the site, sourcing of appropriate materials, supply chain issues, wider local and global issues (Brexit, COVID 19), contingencies that could be put in place to mitigate
- potential appropriate sources of resources and materials for the project sourcing considerations, reclamation upcycling, sourcing options (traditional merchants and more creative/specialist sources)
- steps that should be taken to preserve and protect the traditional Victorian features possibilities and challenges, importance and benefits of preserving, specific steps that could be taken to protect/cover and preserve the flooring and fireplaces.

Candidates own ideas on challenges as represented within the project specification in relation to their research, experience and own thoughts/suggestions.

Aspects of the presentation to consider (presentation skills are considered in the AO1/3/4b mark grid):

- Appropriateness for audience (eg language, terminology, acronyms)
- Basic body language, speed, clarity
- Reading slide content verbatim vs elaborating on slide content



| Note: where there is insufficient evidence to award a mark, a zero mark may be given | Band 1 descr | iptor | Band 2 descr | iptor | Band 3 descri | AO | Total marks available | |
|--|--|---|--------------|--|--|--|-----------------------------|---|
| Task 1.4 Presentation | The presentation structure and defollow a logical of ineffective plants of ineffective plants of ineffective. However, information is not complete and a subject of the provided may in grammatical into and therefore in targeted audients. | oes not always approach due anning. d to deliver the sometimes ever technical ot always accurate. ded may have and content accurate consistencies ot clear to the | | gical approach e in response to e of effective ed to deliver the e mostly echnical vided is of the time with ed is mostly inor errors. evided is in the cally correct but | The presentation structured and lead approach. It is considered in the audience as a redetailed and effect planning. Techniques use presentation are well justified reather information provided is clear are most and error free. The provided is clear are most and error free. | ogical in its lear that the s been rms of its esult of ective d to deliver the e effective with soning behind provided. ed is accurate The content r, | AO1 AO3 AO4b | 6 |
| | targeted audience. | | | s consider | grammatically co easily understoo audience. | | | |



| Note: where there is insufficient evidence to award a mark, a zero mark may be given | Band 1 descriptor | | | | Band 2 descriptor | | | | Band | 3 descr | riptor | AO | Total marks available | |
|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|-----------------------------|----|
| Task 1.4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | AO2a | 12 |
| Presentation | Theories and concepts relating to the core knowledge and core skills conveyed through the presentation - These may not always be accurate or be directly linked to the brief requirements. Communication of concepts and theories is sometimes effective. The delivery of technical information may lack accuracy and clarity for the audience. | | | | Theories and concepts relating to the core knowledge and core skills are coherent throughout the presentation to meet the requirements of the brief set. Concepts and theories are communicated effectively most of the time in an appropriate manner for the target audience. There are minor inaccuracies in the delivery of information which causes a lack of clarity in some instances. | | | | to the core skeep clear juthese at to the keep to the keep community and the targinformal community information in the community that the targinformation in the core in the core core core core core core core cor | es and core knowills are opplicated application entired application entired application is partially and | wledge coheren ons on h ed in resuiremen of conc approprience. Te oresente | t with ow sponse t. cepts riate for echnical | AO2b | |

The following evidence must be used to assess performance against the assessment objectives within this task.

- Presentation materials e.g. slides, handouts
- Research notes
- Report including sources/references
- Video recording or presentation
- Assessor observation record



Task 2.1

Indicative content

Typical evidence may include:

Scenario specific – Potential options to overcome the issue – removal/dismantling of fridge/freezer (removal of doors/handles – potential effect on warrantees etc.), access through other means (windows, back door, making a new opening, reference in brief to knocking down of wall), options to remove door frames/skirting/floorboards etc, employment of specialist equipment, levers/wheeled dolly etc.

Consideration of how the appliance might not fit – measurement of dimensions, sketching and planning potential alternative manoeuvring, increasing manpower to manoeuvre, reorientation/angling of appliance, moving into place/through door frames with appliance doors open Possibility of sourcing a new/similar appliance with careful planning to make sure that would fit.

Liaison with the client as to other options – discussion of how suitable that appliance is in a property of that size/aesthetic (ie Victorian features/modern appliance).

Lessons that would be learned for future planning/projects.

General communication and problem solving - How students collaborate to solve the issue.

Structure, layout and flow of email draft (evidence content).

Style of communication both written (draft email) and verbal (discussions).

Each candidate will be awarded marks in terms of both their contribution to the discussion and email draft. As part of this in awarding marks a judgement will be made on how the candidate has collaborated with other group members in discussions.



| Note: where there is insufficient evidence to award a mark, a zero mark may be given | Band | | Band | | Band 4 descriptor | | | | | AO | Total marks available | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|-------|---------------------|------------------|------|--|--|--|--|---|-----------------------------|----|
| Task 2.1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | AO2a | 15 |
| Collaborative problem-solving | Some to discological Ideas technioccasi task. Commalways speak engag again the tast Evider flow as meets task. I | active cussion I structions liminunications sapproduced in the isset tis not seed me | contribute although a | utions of the second of the se | made / lack nt. / some the not uld / of as low ss of ucture, the | Actively contributed throughout the task to discussions. Methods proposed in solving the issue were relevant, logical, technically correct and thought through most of the time so progress in the task was made but not always timely. Communication skills are appropriate and are clear most of the time with a willingness to discuss some details in other's contributions that supports progress in the task. Levels of engagement with others was generally consistent without. Evidence content is structured, flows and mostly addresses the issues raised in the task. Proposed methods will go some | | | | | Levels discus throug Contri solving method throug was a effecti being Comm developrobing group that so in the engagindica lead the | s of consisions we ghout all butions g the production of the courage was that brupports task. Lipports task. Lipports task aroughoured was all the courage of the courage o | ntribution were high land recorded well and well echnical echnical echnical ind clean tions of ings ab effecti evels of are high out but | Ins to gh softhe esponse were long in progress out det ve progress out taken in the with a ground to taken in the with a groun | task. es to ogical, ht mation ss vell s in the tails gress an | AO2a AO2b AO3 AO5a | 15 |
| | | | | | | way to in the | addre | ssing tl nd have | nese iss some | sues | flows issues Propo | nce cor and cle raised sed me ssing th ve and | arly ad l in the ethods t ne issue | dresse task. for es are | , | | |



The following evidence must be used to assess performance against the assessment objectives within this task.

- Written discussion notes
- Draft email
- Video recording of discussion
- Observation record (notes on contributions to discussion)





Task 2.2

Indicative content

Typical evidence may include:

A reflective account to include: weaknesses, strengths, areas for improvement, what they would do better next time, how well the assessment went in terms of meeting the brief.

Reflection on effectiveness and success of responses across project tasks.

Considered analysis and evaluation of project outcome.



| Note: where there is insufficient evidence to award a mark, a zero mark may be given | Band 1 descriptor | | Band 2 descriptor | | Band 3 des | criptor | Band 4 de | scriptor | AO | Total marks available |
|--|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|------|-----------------------------|
| Task 2.2 | 1 | 1 2 | | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | AO4b | 8 |
| Evaluation | The evalual not clearly how well the outcome mand lacks of reasoning in the outcome liming requirements brief successions. | address he project het the brief clarity and hn places. ck in detail hroject hked to the hts of the | The evaluation addresses how well the project outcome was achieved. There is some reason and justification in how effectively the brief was met. Detail provided on how the project outcome linked to the requirements of the brief with some explanation and reasons. | | well the proje was achieved detailed reaso how successi project brief v | ve and ddresses how ct outcome d. There is oning behind fully the vas met. ed on how the me linked to ents of the ailed and | comprehens specifically a well the projunct the requirement the requirement the brief. The reasoning because study brief was measuccessful a Evaluations | addresses how lect outcome uirements of lere is detailed ehind how the project et, what was and why. made are d reflective of and | AO5b | |

The following evidence must be used to assess performance against the assessment objectives within this task.

• Evaluation account



Get in touch

City & Guilds Technicals Quality Team

We are here to answer any queries you may have regarding your T Level Technical Qualification delivery.

Should you require assistance, please contact us using the details below:

T: 0300 303 53 52 (Monday - Friday | 08:30 - 17:00 GMT)

E: technicals.quality@cityandguilds.com

W: <u>cityandguilds.com/tlevels</u>

The T Level is a qualification approved and managed by the Institute for Apprenticeships and Technical Education.

Copyright in this document belongs to, and is used under licence from, the Institute for Apprenticeships and Technical Education, © 2023. 'T-LEVELS' is a registered trademark of the Department for Education. 'T Level' is a registered trademark of the Institute for Apprenticeships and Technical Education. 'Institute for Apprenticeships & Technical Education' and logo are registered trademarks of the Institute for Apprenticeships and Technical Education.

We make every effort to ensure that the information contained in this publication is true and correct at the time of going to press. However, City & Guilds' products and services are subject to continuous development and improvement, and the right is reserved to change products and services from time to time. City & Guilds cannot accept responsibility for any loss or damage arising from the use of information in this publication.

The City & Guilds of London Institute. All rights reserved. City & Guilds is a trademark of the City & Guilds of London Institute, a charity established to promote education and training registered in England & Wales (312832) and Scotland (SC039576). City and Guilds Group Giltspur House, 5–6 Giltspur Street London EC1A 9DE

