­­**Moderation Visit Feedback**

**Technical Qualifications – synoptic assignment**

*Please note – this visit may cover a number of qualification pathways, or both Levels 2 and 3, depending on the qualification structure / industry requirements.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Centre name:** | **Centre number:** |
|  |  |
| **Qualification title(s):** | **Qualification number(s):** |
|  |  |
| **Date of visit:** | **Moderator name** |
|  |  |
| **Assessments / Tasks observed** | **Visit reference number:** |
|  |  |

| **Candidate details** | | | **Expected level of performance (High / Mid / Low)** | **Marker name** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **First name** | **Last name** | **Enrolment number** |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Assessment site visited:** |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Date:** |  |

**Moderator feedback**

| 1. Did observation notes provide clear descriptions and sufficiently differentiate between levels of performance? If more than one observer was present, was assessment practice consistent?  NB observation notes should enable any third party to accurately allocate marks in each assessment objective once all tasks have been submitted. |
| --- |
|  |

| 2. Did photographic / other evidence required in the synoptic assessment pack provide a clear picture of candidate performance? |
| --- |
|  |

| 3. Summary of feedback and/or recommendations for centre |
| --- |
|  |