Level 1 City & Guilds Principal Learning in Hair and Beauty Studies Summer 2014 (2762-01)

September 2014 Version 1.0



City & Guilds **Believe you can**



www.cityandguilds.com

Contents

1	Introduction	2	
	Employer engagement	2	
	Submission of estimated grades to City & Guilds	2	
	Assignment setting	3	
	Providing evidence for moderation	3	
	The use of marking grids provided by City & Guilds	4	
	The submission of witness testimonies, consultation sheets and candidate reviews		
		4	
	Additional note	5	
2	Units	6	
Unit 101	Introducing the hair and beauty sector (dated entry question paper)	7	
Unit 102	Creating a positive impression in the hair and beauty sector		
Unit 103	Introducing hair styling		
Unit 104	Introducing basic skin care treatments		
Unit 105	Introducing basic hand and nail care services		
Unit 106	Personal appearance, styling and wellbeing		
Unit 107	Careers in the hair and beauty sector and related industries	14	

1 Introduction

This document has been prepared by the Principal Moderator and Principal Examiner to be used as a tool to enhance teaching and assessment. It is advised that this document be referred to when preparing to teach each unit and then again when preparing for assessment.

Most centres used the externally set controlled assignments and candidates, in general, responded well to the knowledge and understanding written tasks. Some centres modified the ones provided by City & Guilds enabling them to provide their candidates with an opportunity to demonstrate their knowledge, understanding and skills in a context that was more in line with their local circumstances. If centres do produce their own, care should be taken to ensure that the assessment guidance is adhered to and the moderator has checked and agreed its fitness for purpose.

The practical skills tasks some assessors found more challenging to evidence as the assessment criteria states that marks are not assigned to the final result; rather the plan, do, review cycle. There was a variation in the amount or types of evidence provided. Witness testimonies (a required form of evidence for all units) were not always provided and sometimes were not suitable. Centres should ensure that everyone involved in creating witness testimonies is familiar with the guidance. Clarification on the evidence required and the use of witness testimonies should be sought from the moderator.

Employer engagement

Employer engagement is an important element of the successful delivery of the Principal Learning qualification and should be incorporated in all assignment work if possible.

Submission of estimated grades to City & Guilds

Centres were requested to provide estimated grades for assessments that the candidates were scheduled to complete in the 2013-2014 academic year. Most centres managed to provide estimations, although some were late in submitting this data.

Assignment setting

In general the externally set sample assessment materials were used to assess candidates. Some centres modified one or more assignment to suit their local delivery circumstances, and this made the assignment(s) more engaging for the candidates. When modifying assignments centres should be aware of the importance of the sector relevant context and give the candidate fair access to all assessment criteria within the unit. Any modifications should be checked and agreed by the moderator. Advice on assignment setting can be sought from the Moderator or Subject Manager at City & Guilds.

Providing evidence for moderation

Interim moderation was available to all new centres in January. The centres that participated were provided with guidance on their approach to the assessments.

The types of evidence that candidates produced showed a good recognition of the requirements of the unit. Many candidates, when given the choice to create a visual presentation for example, decided to complete this on PowerPoint. It is advised that candidates should be instructed to create slides that are still legible when two to a page are printed or instead be encouraged to use the notes pages on this software. If images are used with any visual presentation, it is important that the candidate shows their knowledge and understanding by annotating these as this was not always displayed in work submitted this year. Only original work should be submitted to the moderator.

Many candidates had taken note of the advice provided regarding plagiarism and malpractice. Most of the candidate work was of a good standard, in the candidate's own words and with a bibliography of reference material. Where plagiarism was identified, the assessor had noted this on the work and reduced marks accordingly. It should be noted that some candidates submitted an excess of paperwork that had not been completed as part of the assessment; items such as homework, class notes and hand-outs cannot be used for assessment. If these documents are used as research material they should be clearly referenced and appear as an appendix at the back of the work. Centres should refer to the JCQ Instructions for Conducting Controlled Assessments page 10.

Although video evidence is not recommended as a suitable form of evidence, some centres chose to submit this. However, a transcript should also be present as this further authenticates the work. The transcript is an essential method of identifying candidates and checking how the assessment criteria were met. When submitting photos electronically, it should be checked that all files are correctly named.

If units of work have been combined this evidence must show in **each** unit. This is necessary so that the relevant evidence is available to the moderator who may not be sampling a candidate's work across all units. Understanding of this was less apparent with centres that are more familiar with the NVQ / VRQ style of qualification where a portfolio of evidence is submitted for each individual candidate.

When annotated collages or mood boards are used, they were often sent separately to work attached to the Candidate Record Form (CRF). Care should be taken to ensure that this work shows the candidate name and number along with the centre number when being sent to either the moderator or City & Guilds. One assignment brief must be presented along with the work to demonstrate to the moderator the task that the candidate is providing evidence for.

It was not always clear how much time a candidate had spent completing the tasks and indeed it seemed that some had spent longer than the time advised in the units. The time set aside for assessment must be adhered to as this forms part of the controls and minimises any unfairness to

individuals. It is recommended that centres show how much time has been spent on tasks, particularly if tasks have been split. A table or register could be used for this purpose.

Some candidates had been given the scope of content as a guide when producing their assignments. This is to be used by teachers when delivering the subject and when given to candidates can lead to them being assigned marks by merely listing items on the scope. Knowledge and understanding cannot be demonstrated fully by using this method and it is to be avoided. Along with this it can limit learners since they tend not to go into depth on aspects of taught content and merely cover the scope superficially.

Candidate Record Forms (CRF) should accompany all candidate evidence submitted, but in some cases the CRF was missing or completed incorrectly. Important details omitted included signatures, marks and candidate enrolment numbers. The marks on some CRFs were different to the marks recorded the marking grids and submission forms. It should be noted that if marks have been changed by the internal standardisation process, these are the marks that should show on the CRF.

It should be noted that the submission of work is often just a sample. However, a request for the work of the full cohort may be needed if problems arise during moderation. Therefore, all work should be ready and available during the moderation period (April / May).

Assessed work should be kept securely in the centre and available to the moderator throughout the whole moderation period. This work should stay in the centre until 31 October of the submission year. Marks should not be submitted if work is not assessed, standardised and ready for sampling.

There was good evidence of internal standardisation having taken place in many centres and the importance of this should be reinforced for future years. Internal standardisation is a vital part of the process where more than one assessor is judging the work and can save time and work during the moderation period.

The use of marking grids provided by City & Guilds

The assessment criteria provided in the specification require a candidate's assignment to be assessed using a best-fit approach. This is difficult when the candidate has performed well in one area and less well in several others, or vice versa. City & Guilds has created a set of marking grids which interpret the assessment criteria in such a way that candidates can be given marks in a more structured way.

Half marks or fractions within marks should not be awarded. Space is provided on the grids for assessors to justify how marks have been awarded and it is recommended that this is done so that both internal and external moderation can take place effectively. When justification comments are not produced by the assessor, it can be difficult for the moderator to validate the decision. Annotations on the candidates' work may replace this and be more useful as comments can be too generic to obtain a description of why marks have been awarded. Advice from the moderator should be sought if in any doubt about this process.

The submission of witness testimonies, consultation sheets and candidate reviews

Each unit has an assessment guidance page which sets out the requirements of the task setting, duration and demand. Careful consideration of the 'forms of evidence' should be taken as some omissions (for example, student reviews of their practical work; witness testimonies and consultation sheets / records of treatments) may delay appropriate awarding of grades.

Additionally, evidence for the 'practical outcomes' should always be included to ensure candidates work can be validated.

Some centres produced good witness testimonies to show how the candidates had responded to the criteria. It is required that witness testimonies are written by a specialist observer i.e. the assessor, since they have the expertise to make a judgment as to the sufficiency of the performance. Centres are recommended to avoid repeating the assessment criteria which can create an insufficient description of what was carried out.

Treatment plans or consultation sheets are a recommended method of showing that a hairstyle, facial or manicure has been carried out. Some centres chose to use step by step pictorial guides which gave a good account of the practical performance. The demand for each unit should be adhered to as some candidates completed less than the minimum amount of performances. If this were the case, the moderator was required to reduce marks accordingly (for example if two was the minimum and only one was carried out, only 50% of the marks would be awarded).

Additional note

It is recommended that only evidence generated in the controlled time should be presented for moderation. Class notes, activities from employer visits, price lists, promotional leaflets from manufacturers and centre documents that have not been completed should not be included.

Formative assessments are not a requirement of this specification and although seen as good practice in some instances, proof of this is not needed. Where formative assessments are used, evidence of these does not need to be sent to the moderator.

To ensure fairness and equity to all, care should be taken to ensure that assignment timings are adhered to and that additional class work is not submitted as assessed material. A list of the controlled assessment timings are as follows:

- Unit 102 10 hours
- Unit 103 15 hours
- Unit 104 8 hours
- Unit 105 10 hours
- Unit 106 8 hours
- Unit 107 10 hours.

2 Units

Summary of units

Unit	Title	
101	Introducing the hair and beauty sector (dated entry question paper)	
102	Creating a positive impression in the hair and beauty sector	
103	Introducing hair styling	
104	Introducing basic skin care treatments	
105	Introducing basic hand and nail care services	
106	Personal appearance, styling and wellbeing	
107	Careers in the hair and beauty sector and related industries	

Unit 101 Introducing the hair and beauty sector (dated entry question paper)

Introduction

The examination performed well this year; candidates were able to demonstrate a broad understanding across the assessment criteria, with some in-depth responses to a variety of questions. Generally candidates responded more positively to questions that required a description or explanation, which helped increase their potential marks.

However there was a lack of industry terminology used by many candidates within their responses, especially with the use of basic services/treatments offered (Q1a & Q5a). It is important that candidates learn the basic terminology of the sector as this will be included in future examinations and is an important part of this qualification. A list of the basic terminology can be found in the specification in unit 101.

There were quite a few candidates who did not attempt some of the questions and a few who did not attempt any. It is important to ensure candidates are prepared for the type and structure of questions used and have the opportunity to work through past papers.

Although some candidates achieved a result that was lower than that their centre estimated predicted grades, generally candidates were more prepared for the examination and the different types of questions across the six industries within the hair and beauty sector.

Ouestion 1a

Candidates responded well to this question with most gaining marks listing different types of services carried out in a women's hairdressing salon. However quite a lot of responses did not use industry terminology (eg, wash instead of shampoo). Industry terminology is listed within this unit in the specification handbook.

Question 1b

Most candidates gained marks for this question, demonstrating an understanding of the action to take when identifying a risk in a work environment, especially with chemical spillages, trailing wires and hair left on the floor. Some were unsure of the action to take with broken electrical equipment.

Question 2a

The role of professional organisations within the hair and beauty sector, is covered in every examination series in some form, however candidates have always struggled with the role of the different professional organisations and this year was no different. The question asked candidates to identify the organisation responsible for writing the National Occupational Standards for the hairdressing industry; answers given reflected a range of organisations connected to the sector although a good proportion did not identify HABIA.

Ouestion 2b

This question asked candidates to name one other professional organisation within the hair and beauty sector, there was a good percentage of candidates who gained a mark for this.

Question 3a

Most candidates gained marks for this question, identifying the two largest industries within the hair and beauty sector.

Question 3b

Candidates were asked to state the difference in size of beauty therapy, spa and nail service industries; most candidates gain marks with some detailed responses, although some missunderstood the question with answers referring to the size of the salon required to carry out the range of treatments/services offered by the different industries.

Question 4

This question was worth 6 marks, giving the candidate the opportunity to demonstrate their understanding of the link between the fashion industry and media industry with the hairdressing industry; however quite a few candidates gave a limited description making reference to one or two points maximum. Others did not identify the link. Most industries have some link to other industries and it is important that candidates understand these links when planning their career. This is part of the assessment criteria (LO 1.b).

Question 5a

Candidates responded well to this question with most gaining marks listing different types of treatments carried out in a spa. Candidates demonstrated a better understanding of the terminology used for spa therapy than the terminology used in question 1a for hairdressing.

Ouestion 5b - 5c

Most candidates demonstrated a clear awareness of the range of personal protective equipment that might be used within a spa environment (Q 5b). However a lot of candidates were unsure of the requirements for the employer or employee of the Personal Protective Equipment at Work Regulations (Q 5c), therefore missed the opportunity to gain up to 4 marks.

Question 6

Generally most found this question difficult, confusing the Provision and Use of Work Equipment Regulations with Electricity at Work Regulations. Candidates are required to be familiar with the main health and safety Acts and regulations (LO2), however candidates tend to be more aware of some Acts and Regulations that relate directly to the hair and beauty sector than others (eg COSHH).

Question 7

Most candidates responded well to this question, some demonstrated a broad understanding of how the Barbering and nail service industries have changed over time with some in-depth responses. However some described how, but missed why the change, this was especially relevant to the barbering industry. Most candidates gained marks.

Unit 102 Creating a positive impression in the hair and beauty sector

This unit performed well and learners were able to demonstrate their abilities in a variety of ways. Most centres used the City & Guilds sample assessment materials and found these to be an effective way of assessing the criteria which was not only engaging but also meaningful to learners. The use of appendix 2 (supplied) is an effective way of marking the communication skills and should be encouraged for future years. The importance of teachers stating how much autonomy was shown when carrying out the client satisfaction survey was central to the success of this outcome.

The production of graphs to cover outcome 4 was limited and teachers may want to instruct candidates on how to present data more effectively in future assessments.

Candidate reviews should be more robust to achieve higher marks, as most were unable to make clear suggestions for future progress.

Outcome 2 was not responded to well in some of the lower scoring work, since the interpretation of how customer satisfaction and care impact on business success was often not apparent. Furthermore, how cultural, racial and socio-economic backgrounds impact on client expectations was not fully explained along with how an individual's own beliefs can influence interactions.

Unit 103 Introducing hair styling

The evidence submitted for this unit was generally good and learners were able to demonstrate their knowledge, understanding and ability in a variety of ways. The use of photographic evidence for outcome 4 was observed in some submissions and is recommended as best practice. Where an illustrated record is required, it is expected that candidates produce an image of the style that was produced. However, because this is not a competency based qualification, other images may be used such as cut-outs from magazines with annotations to state how they produced the style. When candidates submit their own drawings of their work, it is expected that they are recognisable as hair styles and so only candidates with good drawing skills should be encouraged to do this.

Outcomes 3 and 4 rely quite heavily on a robust witness statement that should be included with submitted work. It should be noted that simply quoting from the assessment grid is often not sufficient to understand how a candidate has performed and examples of what they said or did is a more effective approach. Additionally, candidates are not assessed on the finished result or the time that it took them to complete the style, so comments should not refer to these aspects.

Outcome 1b was not fully evidenced. Hair type, although sometimes **categorised** by race, is not always **defined** by this and some clients may have a mixture of hair characteristics.

Outcomes 2a-c were not fully evidenced. Candidates were able to list the historical eras, technological developments and factors but were not able to describe **how** these influenced hair styles.

Delivery centres are advised to create or use meaningful consultation or observation sheets as many are using NVQ style documents which did not sufficiently cover the scope of content. For example an NVQ type would not normally cover lifestyle, culture, personality, occasions etc. Insufficient coverage may limit the number of marks available.

A more robust review from candidates is advised for future assessments to ensure that candidates can be awarded better marks for outcome 4.

It should be noted that units 3, 4 and 5 could easily be assessed alongside each other (perhaps on the same 'client') and if this was to be carried out, evidence should be contained in all of those units so that the moderator can sample individual units as stand-alone. Along with this, assessor comments should refer to the correct criteria for each unit.

Unit 104 Introducing basic skin care treatments

Few candidates responded well to outcome 1 which has the opportunity to show different perceptions of facial beauty along with **how** technological developments have influenced the industry. Some step by step guides of practical work did not fully illustrate how the learner had carried out the activity. Little reference to stereotyping and personal opinions was noted, although these are both interesting areas to teach. It seemed, again this year that more time was given to the common NVQ / VRQ areas such as skin structure and skin care products, although many had merely copied a pre-annotated diagram of the skin structure which showed little evidence of their knowledge.

Outcome 4 relies on a fairly robust teacher statement along with a report from the student. The candidate reviews should include how they would improve on future work in this area and how they may have used different products, tools or techniques to achieve this. Some assignments did contain this information and they also included a variety of suggestions for improvements.

Many assignments did not sufficiently cover outcome 3 where knowledge of the key differences between professional and retail products should be shown. Furthermore, there was scant detail regarding how to adapt treatments (for male / female clients).

It should be noted that units 3, 4 and 5 could easily be assessed alongside each other (perhaps on the same 'client') and if this was to be carried out, evidence should be contained in all of those units so that the moderator can sample individual units as stand-alone. Along with this, assessor comments should refer to the correct criteria for each unit.

Unit 105 Introducing basic hand and nail care services

It was noted in this unit that weight was given to the more commonly taught NVQ / VRQ subjects such as nail structure and products and little reference was made to outcome 2 (technological developments and environmental issues in the nail industry). This was evident in work submitted for moderation this year and it should be noted that this qualification surrounds issues relating to the sector as much as the practical hands-on information found in the more common 'job-ready' qualifications. When candidates did touch upon technological developments, they often missed the point of the criteria which was to explain how these had **influenced** the industry.

There was very little illustrated or photographic evidence submitted which made it difficult to judge some pieces of work. The use of illustrations / drawings can be used as long as the candidate has a good drawing ability as some were difficult to distinguish. It is sufficient to submit a step by step or a 'before and after' photograph for this outcome.

There was less evidence of class notes being presented this year, although pre-annotated diagrams were submitted. These do not show the candidates' knowledge and understanding and although they can be used as reference material, they should not be submitted as part of the summative assignment. Candidates should be able to draw and label a diagram of the cross-section of the nail; they do not need to state the function of each area of the nail, rather just state that the function of the nail is to protect the finger. This should leave more controlled assessment time to concentrate on less well engaged aspects of the criteria such as outcome 2.

Candidate reviews for outcome 3 should follow the criteria and show reference to the products, tools and equipment used and also how the candidate could improve in the future. This was not evident in much of the work this year as it was noted that reviews related more to the timings of the service and the end result, both of which are not assessed in this qualification.

It should be noted that units 3, 4 and 5 could easily be assessed alongside each other (perhaps on the same 'client') and if this was to be carried out, evidence should be contained in all of those units so that the moderator can sample individual units as stand-alone. Along with this, assessor comments should refer to the correct criteria for each unit.

Unit 106 Personal appearance, styling and wellbeing

This unit requires the candidate to outline the professional appearance and standards expected in the hair and beauty sector; to relate that to their own personal style and to make suggestions to change their style to keep in line with industry expectations. Clear guidance is given in the specification on pages 68 to 69 and this should be referred to when teaching and assessing.

The teacher statement should be included as evidence that the candidate has been through a review process and is then able to act upon the advice given. They also have to ask for feedback from others as to the appropriateness of their image for the sector. It is recommended that candidate questionnaires are based on questions that are only relevant to the specification and that responses to the questionnaires include references to any changes to their lifestyle and personal appearance.

The collating of questionnaires / feedback to cover outcome 4 was limited and teachers may want to instruct candidates on how to carry this out more effectively in future assessments.

There was good pictorial / photographic evidence of the candidate's personal style preferences and there seemed a lot of enthusiasm for this task.

Unit 107 Careers in the hair and beauty sector and related industries

This unit requires the candidate to research career opportunities and is the only unit in this specification where the research is actually assessed (see page 78 of the specification).

Teachers should be guided by the scope of content on pages 78 and 79 of the specification to ensure a broad spectrum of knowledge and skills are displayed in assessed work. Additionally, teachers should testify that the sources used by candidates were 'rarely relevant', 'relevant' or 'mostly relevant' and how appropriate the research was. This should be backed up by a robust bibliography from the candidate.

Some of the questionnaires that candidates produced did not fully demonstrate their knowledge and ability to assess their own readiness to work in the hair and beauty sector. The City & Guilds assessment material (appendix 1) covers questions relating to the candidates suitability to work in hair and beauty and those delivery centres that used it found it easier to assign marks in this area. Some centres submitted SWOT analyses which helped to show evidence of the candidates' self-assessment

Useful contacts

UK learners General qualification information	T: +44 (0)844 543 0033 E: learnersupport@cityandguilds.com	
International learners General qualification information	T: +44 (0)844 543 0033 F: +44 (0)20 7294 2413	
·	E: intcg@cityandguilds.com	
Centres	T: +44 (0)844 543 0000	
Exam entries, Certificates,	F: +44 (0)20 7294 2413	
Registrations/enrolment, Invoices, Missing or late exam materials, Nominal roll reports, Results	E: centresupport@cityandguilds.com	
Single subject qualifications	T: +44 (0)844 543 0000	
Exam entries, Results, Certification,	F: +44 (0)20 7294 2413	
Missing or late exam materials,	F: +44 (0)20 7294 2404 (BB forms)	
Incorrect exam papers, Forms request (BB, results entry), Exam date and time change	E: singlesubjects@cityandguilds.com	
International awards	T: +44 (0)844 543 0000	
Results, Entries, Enrolments,	F: +44 (0)20 7294 2413	
Invoices, Missing or late exam materials, Nominal roll reports	E: intops@cityandguilds.com	
Walled Garden	T: +44 (0)844 543 0000	
Re-issue of password or username,	F: +44 (0)20 7294 2413	
Technical problems, Entries, Results, e-assessment, Navigation, User/menu option, Problems	E: walledgarden@cityandguilds.com	
Employer	T: +44 (0)121 503 8993	
Employer solutions, Mapping, Accreditation, Development Skills, Consultancy	E: business@cityandguilds.com	
Publications	T: +44 (0)844 543 0000	
Logbooks, Centre documents, Forms, Free literature	F: +44 (0)20 7294 2413	

Every effort has been made to ensure that the information contained in this publication is true and correct at the time of going to press. However, City & Guilds' products and services are subject to continuous development and improvement and the right is reserved to change products and services from time to time. City & Guilds cannot accept liability for loss or damage arising from the use of information in this publication.

If you have a complaint, or any suggestions for improvement about any of the services that we provide, email:

feedbackandcomplaints@cityandguilds.com

About City & Guilds

As the UK's leading vocational education organisation, City & Guilds is leading the talent revolution by inspiring people to unlock their potential and develop their skills. We offer over 500 qualifications across 28 industries through 8500 centres worldwide and award around two million certificates every year. City & Guilds is recognised and respected by employers across the world as a sign of quality and exceptional training.

City & Guilds Group

The City & Guilds Group operates from three major hubs: London (servicing Europe, the Caribbean and Americas), Johannesburg (servicing Africa), and Singapore (servicing Asia, Australia and New Zealand). The Group also includes the Institute of Leadership & Management (management and leadership qualifications), City & Guilds Licence to Practice (land-based qualifications), the Centre for Skills Development (CSD works to improve the policy and practice of vocational education and training worldwide) and Learning Assistant (an online e-portfolio).

Copyright

The content of this document is, unless otherwise indicated, © The City and Guilds of London Institute and may not be copied, reproduced or distributed without prior written consent. However, approved City & Guilds centres and candidates studying for City & Guilds qualifications may photocopy this document free of charge and/or include a PDF version of it on centre intranets on the following conditions:

- centre staff may copy the material only for the purpose of teaching candidates working towards a City & Guilds qualification, or for internal administration purposes
- candidates may copy the material only for their own use when working towards a City & Guilds qualification

The Standard Copying Conditions (see the City & Guilds website) also apply.

Please note: National Occupational Standards are not © The City and Guilds of London Institute. Please check the conditions upon which they may be copied with the relevant Sector Skills Council.

Published by City & Guilds, a registered charity established to promote education and training

City & Guilds
1 Giltspur Street
London EC1A 9DD
T +44 (0)844 543 0000
F +44 (0)20 7294 2413
www.cityandguilds.com