

T Level Technical Qualification in Building Services Engineering for Construction (8710-30)

8710-033 Core: Employer-Set Project

Marking Grid

Autumn 2022

Contents

Contents	2
Employer-Set Project assessment	3
Assessment objectives.....	3
Employer-Set Project mark distribution	4
General marking approach.....	5
Marking grids	6
Task 1.1	6
Task 1.2.....	8
Task 1.3.....	12
Task 1.4.....	15
Task 2.1.....	18
Task 2.2.....	21

Employer-Set Project assessment

Assessment objectives

The Employer-Set Project is assessed against five assessment objectives (AOs). The assessment objectives are mapped against each task within the marking grids:

	Assessment Objective
AO1	Plan their approach to meeting the project brief
AO2	Apply core knowledge and skills as appropriate
• AO2a	○ core knowledge
• AO2b	○ core skills - i) communication e.g. providing information and advice to customers and / or wider stakeholders on the potential risks of a change to an industrial system, or making a presentation to a stakeholder on the implications of change - ii) work collaboratively with other team members and stakeholders e.g. to develop content to bid for a construction project - iii) applying a logical approach to solving problems , identifying issues and proposing solutions e.g. through setting criteria for successful implementation of a system, using cost / benefit analysis of the introduction of new procedures or equipment - iv) primary research e.g. obtaining measurements related to a design and / or customer requirement.
AO3	Select relevant techniques and resources to meet the brief
AO4	Use maths, English and digital skills as appropriate
• AO4a	○ maths
• AO4b	○ English
• AO4c	○ digital
AO5	Realise a project outcome and review how well the outcome meets the brief
• AO5a	○ realise a project outcome – was the right outcome achieved
• AO5b	○ review how well the outcome meets the brief, how well was the brief met, the quality of the outcome in relation to the brief

Employer-Set Project mark distribution

This table illustrates how the 100 marks for the Employer-Set Project are distributed against the tasks and mapped to each assessment objective. These have been set by subject matter experts and employers and will support the comparability between versions of the Employer-Set Project over time.

Tasks	AO1	AO2a	AO2b	AO3	AO4a	AO4b	AO4c	AO5a	AO5b	Total
Part 1										
1.1 Research	2	3	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	9
1.2 Report	6	6	6	2	2	2	2	0	0	26
1.3 Project plan	4	10	6	2	2	0	0	0	0	24
1.4 Presentation	2	6	6	2	0	2	0	0	0	18
Total	14	25	18	8	4	4	4	0	0	77
Part 2										
2.1 Collaborative problem-solving	0	6	5	2	0	0	0	2	0	15
2.2 Evaluation	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	4	8
Total	0	6	5	2	0	4	0	2	4	23
AO	14	54		10	16			6		100

General marking approach

The following process details at high level the steps that will be undertaken by the external marking teams at City & Guilds following the submission of candidate's submitted evidence (including additional supporting evidence such as videos of presentations etc).

Process

- Marker scans / reads the candidates response, and the band descriptors
- Marker makes an initial assessment of the best fit to band
- Marker reviews the candidate evidence against the initial band descriptor in more detail to decide if the response is securely sitting within the band; i.e. all characteristics described by the band descriptor are seen or it strongly meets the level of performance described by the descriptor holistically
 - Marker will also check the descriptor for the level above
 - If evidence clearly shows some of the characteristics of the higher band, the marker will select a suitable mark at the bottom of that band
 - If not showing characteristics of the higher band the marker will revert to the original band, selecting a mark at the higher end of that mark range.

If the response is not securely in the band, but *is partially* showing the characteristics of the band

- Marker will check the descriptor of the level below/above
- Marker will decide on a suitable mark either at the bottom of the original band as some characteristics shown, or top of the lower band if it better describes the quality of the characteristics being shown.

If the response is largely meeting the band, with only a few concerns and is not showing characteristics aligning with the higher or lower bands, the appropriate mark is likely to be in the middle range.

If there is no alignment with the descriptor, the marker will reassess the starting band, and begin again.

- Based on the level of alignment with the descriptor, the marker will confirm a final mark within the band bearing in mind the marks available form an evenly distributed scale:
 - If the quality of response fully aligns with the performance described by the descriptor – the marker will assign a high mark within the band
 - If the quality of the response partially aligns with the performance described by the descriptor – the marker will assign a low to medium mark within the band
 - The marker will consider the quality of a range of similar responses (e.g. annotated lead grade exemplification materials, responses reviewed during standardisation, and through experience) and choose a mark that would give an appropriate ranking amongst those responses in relation to the full range of marks available in each band.
- In order to fully assess the evidence, it may be necessary to focus on several distinct aspects. These have been grouped into separate sub-grids (e.g. 1.1, 1.2 etc.) to allow the marker to make separate assessment decisions, rather than attempt to bring disparate elements together as a holistic judgement, to support reliability, validity and manageability for the marker.

Marking grids

Task 1.1

Indicative content

Typical evidence may include:

Use of resources available with efficient methods employed, such as identification of key internet sites rather than falling into advertising traps when researching via search engines.

Logging all resources used and good notation and collation of technologies and removal of non-relevant information.

Notes showing evidence of chosen types of technology including dimensions, aesthetics, noise levels (where relevant) consumption or sources.

Site service provisions researched including potential locations, their advantages and limitations.

Plans and brief studied to evaluate incorporation into building or on top of building. Azimuths or orientations researched.

AO1 Examples include: Evidence of research coverage. Range of products researched, and all information collated.

AO2 Examples include: Research of points including costs, dimensions and suitability for site, site requirements.

AO3 Examples include: Evidence of systems allowing integration or installation on building.

AO4 Examples include: Logical approach to research.

Note: where there is insufficient evidence to award a mark, a zero mark may be given	Band 1 descriptor			Band 2 descriptor			Band 3 descriptor			AO	Total marks available
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		
Task 1.1										AO1	9
Research	<p>Some evidence of a planned approach to research, results lack order and organisation.</p> <p>Some elements of Core knowledge referenced but focus may be imbalanced and more focused on one area than another. .</p>			<p>Approach to research and collation of information is planned and organised.</p> <p>The application of Core knowledge is referenced consistently for example in relation to legislation/regulation, referencing and health and safety considerations.</p> <p>Evidence of a range of sources used and referenced, with different sources considered.</p> <p>Digital skills applied consistently in the sourcing and collation of materials.</p>			<p>Brief requirements fully considered prior to and throughout research and information collation – clear evidence of methodical and thorough approach to research and information gathering. Systematic and comprehensive research conducted, including detailed list of sources in line with industry standards.</p> <p>Core knowledge applied in all areas of the brief requirements.</p> <p>Evidence of a full range of brief provided.</p>			AO2a AO3 AO4c	

Guidance for markers

The following evidence must be used to assess performance against this assessment objective.

- Research notes, record of sources

Task 1.2

Indicative content

Typical evidence may include:

Details of chosen technology and any associated equipment, including where it should be sited and why.

How location and product type affect performance, including advantages and disadvantages.

Impact of aesthetics and how the technology is seen (or heard) by neighbours and any effects they may suffer such as reflected glare and/or other types of environmental pollution.

Decision on choice with justifications and reasoning.

AO1 Examples include: Detailed coverage of points including types of technologies meeting the brief and relevant detail contained in report.

AO2 Examples include Linking chosen technology to the site constraints and user's needs. Considerations given to impact on neighbours and linking key advantages and limitations to the brief. Logical approaches and solutions to potential risks or problems.

AO3 Examples include: Recommendations meet the needs of the site and are suited to the site based on the information researched and site dimensions and constraints.

AO4 Examples include: Use of mathematical skills, handling data and space constraints detailed on drawings including measurements. Use of language including technical, where necessary, for the chosen technology. Digital skills used in formatting, layout and production of report.

Note: where there is insufficient evidence to award a mark, a zero mark may be given	Band 1 descriptor		Band 2 descriptor		Band 3 descriptor		AO	Total marks available
	1	2	3	4	5	6		
Task 1.2							AO1	6
Report	Evidence of a planned approach to meeting brief, which has some structure. Most required elements are present and distinct from each other.		Evidence of a planned approach to meeting brief, which has a clear structure. All required elements are present and distinct from each other.		Evidence of a meticulous planned approach to meeting brief, which has a logical and clear structure. All required elements are present and distinct from each other and in line with industry standards.			

Note: where there is insufficient evidence to award a mark, a zero mark may be given	Band 1 descriptor			Band 2 descriptor			Band 3 descriptor			Band 4 descriptor			AO	Total marks available
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12		
Task 1.2													AO2a AO2b	12
Report	Some elements of Core knowledge and Core skills drawn on and evidenced within report - limited comprehension of knowledge and skills in relation to brief requirements. Some links to the application of Core knowledge and Core skills to support			Most elements of Core knowledge and Core skills specifically evidenced within report - comprehension of knowledge and skills may have gaps or show some misunderstanding in relation to brief requirements.			Knowledge and skills from across the Core applied and evident in report in relation to different elements of project brief. Clear links applied from research are demonstrated in the report and are accurate. Judgements are			Core knowledge and Core skills applied consistently throughout response with minimal technical inaccuracies. Connections between elements of Core knowledge and Core skills exploited to strengthen arguments and demonstrate understanding.				

	judgements, but reasoning is not always clear and accurate.	Links to the application of Core knowledge and Core skills to justify and support judgements, but with some gaps or inaccuracies in reasoning.	logical with comprehensive lines of reasoning throughout. Concepts explained/referenced clearly and correctly.	Thorough and detailed links from research are demonstrated in the report and are accurate. Judgements are logical with comprehensive lines of reasoning throughout.		
--	---	--	---	---	--	--

Note: where there is insufficient evidence to award a mark, a zero mark may be given	Band 1 descriptor	Band 2 descriptor	AO	Total marks available
Task 1.2	1	2	AO3	2
Report	Information sources may not be fully exploited and may be applied inconsistently in response to meeting the brief with only limited sources used. Some techniques and/or sources selected from those available to respond to brief requirements.	Techniques and sources used effectively to respond to requirements of the brief. All available techniques and sources reviewed and compared to ensure those selected most effectively and efficiently meet all aspects of brief requirements.		

Note: where there is insufficient evidence to award a mark, a zero mark may be given	Band 1 descriptor		Band 2 descriptor		Band 3 descriptor		AO	Total marks available
	1	2	3	4	5	6		
Task 1.2							AO4a	6
Report	<p>Some, limited mathematical concepts and calculations (e.g. estimating, measuring etc) applied appropriately.</p> <p>Response language contains typographical imprecisions which indicate limited proofing or a less structured approach.</p> <p>A digital option considered or attempted as part of response though use may hinder presentation of response.</p>	<p>A range of mathematical concepts and calculations applied with correct solutions achieved.</p> <p>Working may be incorrect or longhand/inefficiently expressed and workings inconsistently shown.</p> <p>Evidence of attempts to follow language conventions, spelling and grammar – response may contain colloquialisms, jargon or incorrect terminology etc.</p> <p>Consideration and use of basic digital options to strengthen response.</p>	<p>Mathematical approaches and concepts applied fully and consistently with consideration of accuracy and checking workings to ensure correct results.</p> <p>English is clear and eloquent throughout response with minimal/no errors. Language used with consideration of end user and industry conventions.</p> <p>All available digital options considered and applied effectively in line with industry standards. Digital techniques used effectively to add value.</p>	AO4b	AO4c			

Guidance for markers

The following evidence must be used to assess performance against the assessment objectives within this task.

- Report – including sources/references
- Record of sources

Task 1.3

Indicative content

Typical evidence may include:

Use of the key stages for the installation and commissioning of renewable technology.

Health and safety considerations, for example access, egress and emergency access to site.

Considerations to storage facilities or delivery methods employed (Just in Time deliveries).

Delivery times and solutions to prevent parking/waiting vehicles on public road(s) outside. Turning point inside site and narrow entrance considered. Site parking provision to maintain access.

Details of any specialist equipment, such as access equipment at key stages.

Analysing risks to contract durations including potential risks from external factors such as weather or changing site conditions.

How some tasks might depend on another, to aid their completion.

Methods of waste management identified and considered in line with the Site Waste Management Plans Regulations.

A Gantt chart or equivalent project plan must be provided for evidence to be marked higher than band 1 for AO1/3/4a, as it would not meet the requirement for logical planning.

AO1 Examples include: Consideration and planning of all aspects involved in the contract from start to commissioning. Consideration towards all equipment requirements. Access and egress decisions considered.

AO2 Examples include: Linking strategies for facilities to site constraints such as transportation, services, lifting/locating. Effective research and holistic view of all site activities and how the technology chosen fits the plan and where appropriate, solutions given to potential clashes or delays. Logistics considered and managed.

AO3 Examples include: Logical sequence of events considered. Justifications on decisions made regarding equipment, and storage solutions.

AO4 Examples include: Use of data analytics.

Note: where there is insufficient evidence to award a mark, a zero mark may be given	Band 1 descriptor		Band 2 descriptor		Band 3 descriptor		Band 4 descriptor		AO	Total marks available
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		
Task 1.3									AO1 AO3 AO4a	8
Project plan	<p>Limited approach to plan containing evidence of some of the required elements.</p> <p>There is limited justification for the selection of techniques, resources (e.g. equipment, contractors), methods, and materials (including disposal) to be used. The choices made are not always the most effective or appropriate for the prescribed project brief.</p> <p>Consideration of some health and safety aspects.</p>	<p>Plan contains required elements in logical order with consideration of deadline.</p> <p>Techniques and/or resources (e.g. equipment, contractors), selected from those available to respond to the brief requirements. The choices made are mostly accurate and appropriate for the prescribed project brief.</p> <p>Consideration of all health and safety aspects in limited detail.</p>	<p>Clear evidence of planning consideration of layout.</p> <p>Selection of techniques, resources (e.g. equipment, contractors), methods and materials (including disposal) are accurate, appropriate, supported with some justifications to meeting project brief.</p> <p>Consideration of all health and safety aspects fully.</p>	<p>Logical and clear approach to plan with evidence of a detailed plan that is in line with industry standards and effectively prioritised.</p> <p>There is a detailed and justified approach to the selection of resources, methods and materials (including disposal). The choices made are accurate and appropriate for the prescribed project brief.</p> <p>Consideration of all health and safety aspects fully with relevant reference to impacts, implications etc.</p>						

Note: where there is insufficient evidence to award a mark, a zero mark may be given	Band 1 descriptor				Band 2 descriptor				Band 3 descriptor				Band 4 descriptor				AO	Total marks available
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16		
Task 1.3																	AO2a	16
Project plan	Some elements of Core knowledge and Core skills referenced within project plan - limited comprehension of knowledge and skills in relation to brief requirements.				Elements of Core knowledge directly highlighted in brief referenced within project plan – knowledge evidenced may have gaps or show some misunderstanding.				Knowledge from across the Core applied and evident in project plan in relation to different elements of project brief.				Knowledge applied consistently throughout project with no technical inaccuracies. Connections between elements of Core knowledge exploited in full ensuring plan effectiveness and demonstrating full understanding.				AO2b	

Guidance for markers

The following evidence must be used to assess performance against the assessment objectives within this task.

- Project plan detailing the key stages of construction
- Supporting statement

Task 1.4

Indicative content

Typical evidence may include:

Presentation featuring all points in the brief including:

- Performance of chosen technology in relation to location.
- Logistics of site and dimensions.
- Benefits to client on recommended choice.
- Impacts on neighbours and solution to these issues.
- Recommendations on chosen solution.

How responses to questions were delivered, including clear and informative responses. Responses - using techniques to check audience understanding.

AO1 Examples include: Clear distinctions between technology choices and how well they meet the project brief. Use of digital skills to provide a layout and formatting of presentation that highlights key areas. Pitched at correct level.

AO3 Examples include: Logical approach and good use of language to be informative but technical where required.

AO4 Examples include: Detailed and structured presentation.

AO2 Examples include: Linking performance, look (aesthetics), dimensions, and method of mounting. Logical approach to problems and risks. Effective research and holistic view of all technologies and how the chosen one fits the brief and, where appropriate, solutions given to potential issues with neighbours. Communication techniques suitable for audience with clear delivery. Problems highlighted and communicated with techniques for gauging understanding of audience, such as Q&A. Coherent answering of questions showing understanding of the subjects.

Aspects of the presentation to consider (presentation skills are considered in the AO1/3/4b mark grid):

- Appropriateness for audience (eg language, terminology, acronyms)
- Basic body language, speed, clarity
- Reading slide content verbatim vs elaborating on slide content

Note: where there is insufficient evidence to award a mark, a zero mark may be given	Band 1 descriptor		Band 2 descriptor		Band 3 descriptor		AO	Total marks available
Task 1.4	1	2	3	4	5	6	AO1	6
Presentation	<p>The presentation lacks structure and does not always follow a logical approach due to ineffective planning.</p> <p>Technique used to deliver the presentation is sometimes effective. However technical information is not always complete and accurate.</p> <p>Terminology used may have inaccuracies and content provided may include grammatical inconsistencies and therefore not clear to the targeted audience.</p>		<p>The presentation is structured and follows a logical approach most of the time in response to the task because of effective planning.</p> <p>Techniques used to deliver the presentation are mostly effective. The technical information provided is accurate most of the time with valid reasoning.</p> <p>Terminology used is mostly accurate with minor errors. The content provided is in the most grammatically correct but does not always consider target audience.</p>		<p>The presentation is detailed, structured and logical in its approach. It is clear that the presentation has been considered in terms of its audience as a result of detailed and effective planning.</p> <p>Techniques used to deliver the presentation are effective with well justified reasoning behind the information provided.</p> <p>Terminology used is accurate and error free. The content provided is clear, grammatically correct and easily understood by the target audience.</p>		AO3 AO4b	

Note: where there is insufficient evidence to award a mark, a zero mark may be given	Band 1 descriptor				Band 2 descriptor				Band 3 descriptor				AO	Total marks available
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12		
Task 1.4													AO2a	12
Presentation	Theories and concepts relating to the Core knowledge and Core skills conveyed through the presentation - these may not always be accurate or be directly linked to the brief requirements. Communication of concepts and theories is sometimes effective. The delivery of technical information may lack accuracy and clarity for the audience.				Theories and concepts relating to the Core knowledge and Core skills are coherent throughout the presentation to meet the requirements of the brief set. Concepts and theories are communicated effectively most of the time in an appropriate manner for the target audience. There are minor inaccuracies in the delivery of information which causes a lack of clarity in some instances.				Theories and concepts relating to the Core knowledge and Core skills are coherent with clear justifications on how these are applied in response to the brief requirement. Highly effective communication of concepts and theories is appropriate for the target audience. Technical information is presented accurately and delivered with clarity.				AO2b	

Guidance for markers

The following evidence must be used to assess performance against the assessment objectives within this task.

- Presentation materials – e.g. slides, handouts
- Research notes
- Report – including sources/references
- Video recording or presentation
- Assessor observation record

Task 2.1

Indicative content

Typical evidence may include:

A comparison of advantages and disadvantages of contractors.

Risks to the project based on each contractor. Discussions relating to contributions each contractor brings as well as costs to the project.

Discussion on recommendations based on analysis of both contractors.

How students collaborate to solve the issue.

Structure, layout and flow of email draft (evidence content).

Style of communication both written (draft email) and verbal (discussions).

Each candidate will be awarded marks in terms of both their contribution to the discussion and email draft. As part of this, in awarding marks a judgement will be made on how the candidate has collaborated with other group members in discussions.

Examples include: Contribution to discussion with reasoned and balanced views. Keeping discussion relevant to the tasks at hand and not being distracted by irrelevant factors. Communication skills are clear, precise with knowledge through research. Good questioning used where doubt may exist. Structured email with digital skills displayed in terms of formatting and presentation. Outcome realised and solutions provided that meet project requirements.

Note: where there is insufficient evidence to award a mark, a zero mark may be given	Band 1 descriptor					Band 2 descriptor					Band 3 descriptor					AO	Total marks available
Task 2.1	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	AO2a	15
Collaborative problem-solving	<p>Some active contributions made to discussion although may lack logical structure of argument. Ideas put forward may lack some technical accuracy and on occasions limit progress of the task.</p> <p>Communication skills were not always appropriate and would speak over others or levels of engagement with others was low again impacting on progress of the task.</p> <p>Evidence content lacks structure, flow and is limited in how it meets the issues raised in the task. It is not clear that the proposed methods will address the issue.</p>					<p>Actively contributed throughout the task to discussions. Methods proposed in solving the issue were relevant, logical, technically correct and thought through most of the time so progress in the task was made but not always timely.</p> <p>Communication skills are appropriate and are clear most of the time with a willingness to discuss some details in other's contributions that supports progress in the task. Levels of engagement with others was generally consistent throughout.</p> <p>Evidence content is structured, flows and mostly addresses the issues raised in the task. Proposed methods will go some way to addressing these issues in the task and have some form of reasoning to them.</p>					<p>Levels of contributions to discussions were high throughout all points of the task. Contributions and responses to solving the problem were logical, methodical, and well thought through. All technical information was accurate, resulting in effective and timely progress being made.</p> <p>Communication skills are well developed and clear. Asks probing questions of others in the group that brings about details that supports effective progress in the task. Levels of engagement are high with an indication of wanting to take the lead throughout but in a measured way.</p> <p>Evidence content is structured, flows and clearly addresses all issues raised in the task. Proposed methods for addressing the issues are effective and well justified.</p>					AO2b AO3 AO5a	

Guidance for markers

The following evidence must be used to assess performance against the assessment objectives within this task.

- Written discussion notes
- Drafted email
- Video recording of discussions
- Assessor observation record (notes on contributions to discussions)

Task 2.2

Indicative content

Typical evidence may include:

Reflection on effectiveness and success of responses across project tasks.

Considered analysis and evaluation of project outcome.

Examples include: all tasks fully considered with honest and justified accounts reflected in line with the brief of the project task including all outcomes. Use of language, including technical, presentation, and displays digital skills including format and layout.

Note: where there is insufficient evidence to award a mark, a zero mark may be given	Band 1 descriptor		Band 2 descriptor		Band 3 descriptor		Band 4 descriptor		AO	Total marks available
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		
Task 2.2									AO4b	8
Evaluation	<p>The evaluation does not clearly address how well the project outcome met the brief and lacks clarity and reasoning in places.</p> <p>There is lack of detail on how the project outcome linked to the requirements of the brief successfully or not.</p>	<p>The evaluation addresses how well the project outcome was achieved. There is some reason and justification in how effectively the brief was met.</p> <p>Detail provided on how the project outcome linked to the requirements of the brief with some explanation and reasons.</p>	<p>The evaluation is comprehensive and specifically addresses how well the project outcome was achieved. There is detailed reasoning behind how successfully the project brief was met.</p> <p>Detail provided on how the project outcome linked to the requirements of the brief with detailed explanations and explained reasons.</p>	<p>The evaluation account is comprehensive and specifically addresses how well the project outcome met the requirements of the brief. There is detailed reasoning behind how successfully the project brief was met, what was successful and why.</p> <p>Evaluations made are accurate and reflective of the quality and effectiveness of project outcome.</p>	AO5b					

Guidance for markers

The following evidence must be used to assess performance against the assessment objectives within this task.

- Evaluation account

Get in touch

City & Guilds Technicals Quality Team

We are here to answer any queries you may have regarding your T Level Technical Qualification delivery.

Should you require assistance, please contact us using the details below:

T: 0300 303 53 52 (Monday - Friday | 08:30 - 17:00 GMT)

E: technicals.quality@cityandguilds.com

W: cityandguilds.com/tlevels

The T Level is a qualification approved and managed by the Institute for Apprenticeships and Technical Education.

Copyright in this document belongs to, and is used under licence from, the Institute for Apprenticeships and Technical Education, © 2023. 'T-LEVELS' is a registered trademark of the Department for Education. 'T Level' is a registered trademark of the Institute for Apprenticeships and Technical Education. 'Institute for Apprenticeships & Technical Education' and logo are registered trademarks of the Institute for Apprenticeships and Technical Education.

We make every effort to ensure that the information contained in this publication is true and correct at the time of going to press. However, City & Guilds' products and services are subject to continuous development and improvement, and the right is reserved to change products and services from time to time. City & Guilds cannot accept responsibility for any loss or damage arising from the use of information in this publication.

The City & Guilds of London Institute. All rights reserved. City & Guilds is a trademark of the City & Guilds of London Institute, a charity established to promote education and training registered in England & Wales (312832) and Scotland (SC039576). City and Guilds Group Giltspur House, 5–6 Giltspur Street London EC1A 9DE