T-LEVELS | Institute for Apprenticeships & Technical Education

T Level Technical Qualification in Engineering, Manufacturing, Processing and Control

Employer-Set Project (8730-034)

Autumn 2023 Marking Grids

City & Guilds | eal

Contents

General marking approach	3
Use of ChatGPT (or any other Artificial Intelligence)	8
Assessment objectives	10
Employer-set project mark distribution	11
1. Research	12
2. Report	15
3. Design	21
4. Present	29
Maths, English and Digital skills	37

General marking approach

The following process details at high level the steps that will be undertaken by the external markers following the submission of candidate's submitted evidence.

Candidate evidence includes work produced by the candidate such as notes, reports, plans or drawings. As well as other generated evidence which demonstrates the candidate's performance such as the video of a presentation or notes of the Q&A session following the presentation. Only certain pieces of evidence should be considered for certain marking grids. The evidence which should be considered for a marking grid will be clearly outlined in the 'Guidance to markers' section.

Process

- Marker reviews the administrative paperwork for the candidate which includes;
    - Evidence checklist
        - **Has this form been completed fully?**
        - **Does it highlight any evidence which has not been uploaded?**
        - **Does the checklist align to the evidence available in the system?** – if no, make a note of this, mark the tasks which do have all evidence, Save, then flag as an Error making clear what is missing.
    - Declaration of Authenticity
        - **Has this been signed by the candidate and the provider?** – if no, make a note of this, mark the evidence, Save, then flag as an Error making clear that the DoA is not signed.
        - **Does it detail any support the candidate was given during the assessment which should be taken into consideration when marking?** – if yes, flag to supervisor and your assessment contact.

- Marker attempts to open/play all evidence files and checks the following:
    - Does the evidence contain a header form where the candidate details align to the candidate details in the marking platform?
    - Does all the evidence open/play?
    - Do the video files have clear sound?
      – if no, make a note of this, mark the tasks where evidence is available, Save, then flag as an Error making clear what is wrong.

- Marker begins 'marking' starting with the first marking grid and working through them in order.

- Marker must consider what the marking grid is trying to assess by:
    - noting what candidate evidence must be taken into consideration for this marking grid.
    - reading through the indicative content and familiarising themselves with the 'lens' they should be evaluating the candidates work through in relation to the prescribed assessment objective(s) the marking grid is assessing.
    - reading the band descriptors, noting how the descriptors differentiates performance between bands.

- Once familiar with the requirements of the marking grid the marker will:
    - scan/read the candidate's evidence that is relevant to that marking grid.
    - make an initial judgement on the level of performance the candidate has demonstrated taking all the relevant evidence for that grid into consideration.
    - allocate the marking band the candidate's performance best aligns to.

- Once the initial assessment is made, the marker needs to determine how well aligned the candidate's performance is to the band descriptor. The marker will:

- review the relevant candidate evidence against the initially allocated band descriptor in more detail.
- determine how well the candidate aligns to the band by placing them into one of the four levels of alignment detailed below:
    A. The candidate is **securely** in the band (i.e. meeting the band descriptor(s) fully).
    B. The candidate is **largely** meeting the band with most of the descriptor(s) met, but some may not be fully met.
    C. The candidate is **partially** meeting the band with some of the descriptor(s) met, but some may not be met.
    D. The candidate **does not align** to the descriptor(s) within the band.

- To help determine how well the candidate aligns to the band, the marker will consider the four levels of alignment in detail, taking into account:

A. If the candidate's performance is **securely** in the band, (i.e. all characteristics described by the band descriptor are seen or it strongly meets the level of performance described by the descriptor holistically.) To confirm the correct band has been assigned the marker will also check the descriptor for the band above:
    - if evidence clearly shows some of the characteristics of the higher band, the marker will select a suitable mark at the bottom of that band.
    - if not showing characteristics of the higher band, the marker will revert to the original band, selecting a mark at the higher end of that mark range.

B. If the candidate's performance is **largely** meeting the band. To confirm the correct band has been assigned the marker will:
    - check the descriptor for the band above
    - check the descriptor for the band below

    If there are only a few concerns with the initially allocated band, and the performance is not showing characteristics aligning with the higher or lower bands, the appropriate mark is likely to be in the middle range.

C. If the candidate's performance is **partially** meeting the band. To confirm the correct band has been assigned the marker will:
    - check the descriptor of the level below.
    - decide on a suitable mark either:
        - at the bottom of the initial band as some characteristics shown, or
        - at the top of the lower band if it better describes the quality of performance being shown.

D. If there is no alignment with the descriptor, the marker will reassess the starting band, and begin again.

- Once the appropriate band has been identified, where the band covers a range of marks, the marker will determine a final mark awarded from that band. Marks are evenly distributed across the bands.
    - if the quality of candidate performance fully aligns with the descriptor, the marker will assign a high mark within the band.
    - if the quality of the response partially aligns with the performance described by the descriptor, the marker will assign a low to medium mark within the band.

  To support this decision, the marker will consider the quality of a range of similar responses (e.g. responses reviewed during standardisation, or experience as they move through candidates scripts) and choose a mark that would give an appropriate ranking amongst those responses in relation to the full range of marks available in each band.

Follow-through errors

Should a candidate make an error or display a weakness in one task that is further compounded through the inter-dependent nature of the tasks and carry through that error, the marker should penalise the candidate only once.

Evidence should be considered within the constraints of the relevant marking grid, focusing on the knowledge and skills to be demonstrated as outlined in the indicative content. For example, if the candidate does not research suitable options in Task 1, when they get to Task 4 where the solution is presented – the marker should focus on looking at how well the candidate presents the solution they are proposing, i.e. it is the presentation and communication skills that hold the main relevance in this task, rather than further penalising the candidate for a less than optimal research and proposal from the initial Task 1.

Candidates can also use evaluation within later task responses to address issues they have identified.

Worked Example (1)

Grid 1 AOs: AO1, AO2a, AO3, Relevant Evidence: research notes, list of references/sources

Task 1	Band 1			Band 2			Band 3		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Research (Planning, core knowledge, selecting techniques and resource)	**Indicative Content – Sample version** **AO1** – The candidate has planned their research. This may be evidenced in the coherence of structure of the research notes, and in the sources/resources listed. The consistency of coverage of research requirements as detailed in the technical brief in relation to required aspects of the task. **AO2a** – Evidence of the candidate researching required elements and refining their approach to the problem and considering the jig requirements meet the specification given. Candidates provided details on research of materials, standard parts and relevant specifications. Research on responses to similar problems, similar solutions or ones that relate the provided brief. Detail of health and safety considerations and risk assessment requirements. **AO3** – The candidate's selected research techniques and resources to meet the brief and their relevance. The matching of resources and information to the various parts of the research requirement – use of specifications, diagrams, downloads etc to match the determinations that must be made.								

Band 1			Band 2			Band 3		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Some evidence of a planned approach to research. (AO1)			Approach to research and collation of information shows planning and consistency. (AO1)			Brief requirements are considered consistently throughout the research and information collation – clear evidence of methodical and thorough approach to research and information gathering. (AO1)		
Some elements of core knowledge referenced but focus may be imbalanced and more focused on one area than another. (AO2a)			Core knowledge applied in most areas of the brief requirements. (AO2a)			Core knowledge applied in all areas of the brief requirements. (AO2a)		
Research techniques and resources clear as part of evidence submission. (AO3)			Evidence of a range of techniques and resources used and referenced, with different source types considered. (AO3)			Evidence of comprehensive research techniques, use of resources, and full range of sources. All sources fully detailed and presented fully and consistently. (AO3)		

Marker familiarises themselves with the marking grid, identifying:

- What candidate evidence is relevant.
- What aspects of the relevant evidence is to be considered from the indicative content.
- How performance is differentiated across the marking bands.

Marker scans the relevant evidence and makes an initial judgement on the level of performance.

Marker allocates the marking band that the candidate's performance best aligns to. E.g. Band 2.

If the candidate's performance is **partially** meeting the descriptors within the band, the marker will check the descriptor of the level below.

If the candidate's performance is **largely** meeting the descriptors within the band, with only a few concerns and is not aligning with the higher (B3) or lower (B1) bands, the appropriate mark is likely to be in the middle range.

If the candidate's performance is **securely** meeting the descriptors in the band, the marker will also check the descriptor for the band above. (e.g. Band 3).

Marker decides to award Band 2 = 5 marks.

Marker will decide on a suitable mark either:

- at the bottom of the original band (B2) as some characteristics shown, i.e. 4 marks, or
- top of the lower band (B1) if it better describes the characteristics being shown i.e. 3 marks.

Marker will decide on suitable mark either:

- at the bottom of the higher band (B3) as some characteristics are shown, i.e. 7 marks, or
- revert to the original band, (B2) selecting a mark at the higher end of that mark range i.e. 6 marks.

Worked Example (2)

Grid 2 AOs: AO2b, Relevant Evidence: research notes, list of references/sources

Task 1	Band 1		Band 2		Band 3	
	1	2	3	4	5	6
Research (Core skills)	**Indicative Content – Sample version** **AO2b** – The candidate's demonstration of judgement and reasoning in relation to the review of the requirements from the brief and the content within the notes. Details of research on technology solutions for the drill jig in order to meet the design specification supplied by the client and support the order of the bespoke shoulder screws. The candidate's effectiveness of communication of research conducted to meet requirements outlined in the brief – clarity and conciseness of response. Expression of ideas in associated research analysis and level to which they are supported e.g. through inclusion of images and level of referencing to sources. Evidence of planning in research in terms of consistency and balance of response (time spent consistently on researching different elements).					
	Band 1		**Band 2**		**Band 3**	
	1	2	3	4	5	6
	Some basic elements of core skills drawn on and evidenced within task response - limited use of skills in relation to brief requirements. (AO2b)		A range of core skills applied and evidenced consistently in task response in relation to different elements of the project brief. (AO2b)		Core skills applied consistently and comprehensively throughout task completion with - full range of core skills evidenced. (AO2b)	

Marker familiarises themselves with the marking grid, identifying:

- What candidate evidence is relevant.
- What aspects of the relevant evidence is to be considered from the indicative content.
- How performance is differentiated across the marking bands.

Marker scans the relevant evidence and makes an initial judgement on the level of performance.

Marker allocates the marking band that the candidate's performance best aligns to. E.g., Band 3.

If the candidate's performance is **largely or partially** meeting the descriptor of the band, the marker will also check the descriptor of the level below.

If the candidate's performance is **securely** meeting the descriptors in the band, marker selects a mark at the higher end of that mark range.

Marker decides to award Band 3 = 6 marks.

If there is **no or little** alignment with the descriptor, the marker will reassess the starting band, and begin again. E.g. begin at band 2, with consideration made to band 1.

If the quality of the response **fully** aligns with the performance described by the descriptor in the band below (B2), the marker will assign a mark at top of this band.

If the quality of the response **exceeds** with the performance described in the lower band (B2), then the marker should revert to the initially allocated band (B3) and assign a low to medium mark within the band.

Marker decides to award Band 2 = 4 marks.

Marker decides to award Band 3 = 5 marks.

Use of ChatGPT (or any other Artificial Intelligence)

What isn't permitted

AI misuse is where a student uses an AI tool in an assessment or fails to appropriately reference it in an assessment where internet use is permitted. Examples include the following:

- Failing to reference use of AI tools when they have been used as a source of information;
- Incomplete or poor referencing of AI tools;
- Copying sections of AI-generated content so that the work is no longer the student's own;
- Copying whole responses of AI-generated content;
- Submitting work with intentionally incomplete or misleading references or bibliographies.

AI misuse constitutes malpractice as defined in the JCQ Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures (**https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/malpractice/**). We encourage markers to read and reference this guidance if they feel the need to flag potential malpractice related to ChatGPT. The malpractice sanctions available for the offences of 'making a false declaration of authenticity' and 'plagiarism' include disqualification and debarment from taking qualifications for a number of years.

What is permitted

AI may have been used by the candidate as a source within their research task (Task 1 only). Where candidates use AI, they must acknowledge its use and show clearly how they have used it. However, how candidates have decided to use it will impact on the overall mark they are allocated.

The use of AI as a research technique will impact Grid 1. Below details how they will be impacted and what needs to be considered:

<u>Grid 1</u>

- AO1: Planning (Approach to research and information gathering)
    - Has the candidate validated the information given to them by the AI solution?
- AO2a: Application of Core Knowledge
    - Does the candidate's evidence demonstrate how they have taken the research provided by the AI and used this, alongside their own knowledge in response to the brief?
- AO3: Selecting Techniques and Resources
    - Has the candidate considered other approaches to research, or have they just deferred to AI?
    - Is the use of AI appropriate referenced?

Worked Example

Candidate A has referenced ChatGPT along with one other web-address, which has barely been used or referred to within their evidence. They have considered the majority of the prompt given in the brief but not all. The way the evidence is presented, it's difficult to determine what information is taken directly from the source and what is the learner's interpretation of this information. On this occasion, the learner is likely to be contained to marks within Band 1 because:

- There is some evidence that they carried out some planning – they've considered the majority of the prompts within the brief.
- It's difficult to determine how the candidate has interpreted the information from the research and applied their own knowledge within the evidence given the way it's presented.
- They have used ChatGPT as a primary source and have only followed up with one other website, and the reference to this is limited, therefore, minimal techniques have been used. To add to this the candidate has not made it clear within their reference what is the output from ChatGPT and what is their own work.

What to do if you believe you've identified potential misuse of AI

Any concerns around AI misuse must be treated as potential malpractice. You must flag this by putting the candidate on HOLD in myMarkis. Further guidance relating to this can be found in Section 12 (Page 6) of the 'myMarkis Checklist for Marking' document.

What to do if you're unsure

Your marking supervisor is there to support you through the process, as are the City & Guilds Assessment team. If you have a specific candidate, you'd like to talk through in more detail please reach out to them.

Assessment objectives

The Employer-Set Project is assessed against five assessment objectives. The assessment objectives are mapped against each task within the marking grids:

AO Ref	Assessment Objective
AO1	Plan their approach to meeting the project brief
AO2 • AO2a • AO2b	Apply core knowledge and skills as appropriate
	○ core knowledge
	○ core skills i) **Analysing and interpreting -** Evaluate and confirm the brief with reference to context, objectives and constraints (e.g. requirements, resources, precedents, technical issues, costs, health and safety, regulations, possibilities) ii) **Planning and preparation -** Propose and plan key activities, stages, methods, processes, techniques, documentation, resources (including types of tools and equipment) and risk assessments iii) **Developing responses** - Apply engineering and manufacturing processes to achieve specific objectives and to produce quality outcomes, using relevant techniques and technology, within limits of own authority iv) **Evaluating and quality assuring** - Carry out investigations, generate proposals and options, identify standard components and systems at relevant stages to gather and evaluate relevant evidence and data, and to confirm the suitability of plans, processes, actions and outcomes (including quality control and quality assurance activities) v) **Communication and presentation -** Record, report, communicate and present plans, proposals, processes, issues, risks and outcomes to both technical and non-technical audiences, across a range of suitable formats and media (e.g. diagrams, physical and digital records, presentations)
AO3	Select relevant techniques and resources to meet the brief
AO4 • AO4a • AO4b • AO4c	Use maths, English and digital skills as appropriate
	○ Maths
	○ English
	○ digital
AO5 • AO5a • AO5b	Realise a project outcome and review how well the outcome meets the brief
	○ realise a project outcome – was the right outcome achieved
	○ review how well the outcome meets the brief, how well was the brief met, the quality of the outcome in relation to the brief

Employer-set project mark distribution

This table illustrates how the 90 marks for the employer-set project are distributed against the tasks and mapped to each assessment objective. These have been set by subject matter experts and employers and will support the comparability between versions of the employer-set project over time.

Tasks	AO1	AO2a	AO2b	AO3	AO5a	AO5b	Total	AO4a	AO4b	AO4c
1. Research	3	3	6	3	0	0	15	3	3	3
2. Report	3	6	6	3	0	0	18			
3. Design	3	6	6	3	3	3	24			
4. Present	3	6	6	3	3	3	24			
Total	**12**	**21**	**24**	**12**	**6**	**6**	**81**	**9**		**90**
AO marks	12	45		12	12		-	9		90
AO %	13.3%	50%		13.3%	13.3%		-	10%		100%

NB - AO2 collectively must be at least 50% (i.e. 45 marks)

1. Research

<table>
<tr><th colspan="4">Grid 1: AO1, AO2a, AO3 Research (Planning, core knowledge, selecting techniques and resource)</th></tr>
<tr><td>Guidance for markers</td><td colspan="3">Only the following evidence must be used to assess performance against this marking grid:
Research notes which should include a list of sources/references.</td></tr>
<tr><td rowspan="2">Indicative Content</td><td rowspan="2">AO1 – Plan their approach to meeting the project brief
The candidate’s:
approach to investigating potential solutions.
structure of the research notes and analysis.
analysis of the requirements and the issues outlined in the task, and how consistent/balanced the consideration of each of these are in comparison to each other.
AO2a – Apply core knowledge
The candidate’s:
confidence and appropriateness of use of terminology.
accuracy of the research notes in relation to sources.
refinement of their approach to the problem in relation to the specification given.
selection and definition key aspects of the task in relation to:
potential approaches that could be used to design a gauge for the range of sizes stated
suitable manufacturing processes for the production of the gauge and how this could influence the design
suitable materials for gauges to be manufactured from, and materials that should be avoided
suitable material sizes, dimensions, and tolerances
anthropometric and ergonomic considerations for handling the gauge.
AO3 – Select relevant techniques and resources to meet the brief
The candidate’s:
range of techniques/sources used to carry out research (such as the number of websites and the types of websites the candidate has used).
consideration of the relevance and reliability of the sources used during research.</td><td>AOs (marks)</td><td>Total marks available</td></tr>
<tr><td>AO1 (3)
AO2a (3)
AO3 (3)</td><td>9</td></tr>
</table>

Grid 1: AO1, AO2a, AO3 Research (Planning, core knowledge, selecting techniques and resource)									
	• range of potential solutions/options. • references to sources from research of guidance and/or industry standards. • use of pictures, drawings, schematics, specifications, and sketches alongside prose to communicate their findings. • use of material supplier data sheets and extracts from tooling aid supplier catalogues to support research.								
Marking descriptors – All versions Note: where there is insufficient evidence to award a mark, a zero mark may be given									
Band 1 descriptor			**Band 2 descriptor**			**Band 3 descriptor**			
1	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**	**6**	**7**	**8**	**9**	
Some evidence of a planned approach to research. (AO1) Some elements of core knowledge referenced but focus may be imbalanced and more focused on one area than another. (AO2a) Research techniques and resources clear as part of evidence submission. (AO3)			Approach to research and collation of information shows planning and consistency. (AO1) Core knowledge applied in most areas of the brief requirements. (AO2a) Evidence of a range of techniques and resources used and referenced, with different source types considered. (AO3)			Requirements of the brief are considered consistently throughout the research and information collation – clear evidence of methodical and thorough approach to research and information gathering. (AO1) Core knowledge applied in all areas of the brief requirements. (AO2a) Evidence of comprehensive research techniques, use of resources, and full range of sources. All sources fully detailed and presented fully and consistently. (AO3)			

<table>
<tr><th colspan="6">Grid 2: AO2b Research (Core Skills)</th></tr>
<tr><td>Guidance for markers</td><td colspan="5">Only the following evidence must be used to assess performance against this marking grid:
• Research notes which should include a list of sources/references.</td></tr>
<tr><td rowspan="2">Indicative Content</td><td colspan="3">AO2b – Application of core skills
Core skills being assessed:
• Analysing and interpreting
○ demonstration of judgement and reasoning in relation to the review of the requirements from the brief.
○ identification of technical information and resources required for manufacturing.
○ investigation into the materials to manufacture the go/no-go gauge and a level of understanding of the manufacturing processes considered.
• Planning and preparation
○ evidence of planning the research in terms of consistency and balance of response (time spent consistently on researching different elements).
○ evidence of taking a planned approach to the research by addressing all factors which needs to be considered stated in the task.
• Developing responses
○ expression of ideas in associated research analysis and level to which they are supported e.g. through inclusion of images and level of referencing to sources.</td><td>AOs (marks)</td><td>Total marks available</td></tr>
<tr><td>AO2b (6)</td><td>6</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="6">Marking descriptors – All versions
Note: where there is insufficient evidence to award a mark, a zero mark may be given</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="2">Band 1 descriptor</td><td colspan="2">Band 2 descriptor</td><td colspan="2">Band 3 descriptor</td></tr>
<tr><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>3</td><td>4</td><td>5</td><td>6</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="2">Some basic elements of core skills drawn on and evidenced within task response - limited use of skills in relation to brief requirements. (AO2b)</td><td colspan="2">A range of core skills applied and evidenced consistently in task response in relation to different elements of the project brief. (AO2b)</td><td colspan="2">Core skills applied consistently and comprehensively throughout task completion with - full range of core skills evidenced. (AO2b)</td></tr>
</table>

2. Report

<table>
<tr><th colspan="4">Grid 3: AO1, AO3 Report (Planned approach, selecting techniques)</th></tr>
<tr><td>Guidance for markers</td><td colspan="3">Only the following evidence must be used to assess performance against this marking grid:
Report which outlines the proposed design of the gauge and the manufacturing process that will be used.</td></tr>
<tr><td rowspan="2">Indicative Content</td><td>AO1 – Plan their approach to meeting the project brief
The candidate’s:
planning of their report, in consistency and balance of coverage of points.
coverage of the requested elements of the task, specifically:
the reason for the tolerance on the stock stainless steel tube
the type of go/no-go gauge designs that could be used for the range of sizes stated
why they have selected this design in relation to gauging the outside diameter and the internal bore
what material(s) have been selected for the gauges to be manufactured from, why it’s suitable and the reasons for not selecting other materials
the manufacturing processes you have chosen for the production of the gauges and how this has influenced the design
anthropometric and ergonomic considerations for handling the gauge
health and safety considerations relating to the design proposed.
planning and fitting the different elements of the brief together to produce a correct response.
level of detail provided of any assumptions related to the design problem detailed in the task.
AO3 – Select relevant techniques and resources to meet the brief
The candidate’s:
ability to apply the findings from the research into their initial proposals.
clarity of solutions, and how closely, they are derived from brief guidance and research.
references to sources from research of guidance and/or industry standards.
use of pictures, drawings, schematics, specifications, and sketches alongside prose to communicate their findings.
use of OEM catalogues, excerpts of information from BS, DIN, ASME or ISO standards.</td><td>AOs (marks)
AO1 (3)
AO3 (3)</td><td>Total marks available
6</td></tr>
</table>

Grid 3: AO1, AO3 Report (Planned approach, selecting techniques)					
Marking descriptors – All versions Note: where there is insufficient evidence to award a mark, a zero mark may be given					
Band 1 descriptor		**Band 2 descriptor**		**Band 3 descriptor**	
1	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**	**6**
Some evidence of a planned approach to task, response may lack detail and required information. (AO1) Some relevant techniques used in the preparation and presentation of report and associated information. (AO3)		Approach to report and required information is planned, organised and complete. (AO1) Relevant techniques and industry conventions used throughout the preparation and presentation of report. (AO3)		Approach to report and required information fully comprehensive and in line with standard industry practices. (AO1) Preparation and presentation of report and associated information is fully in line with industry conventions. (AO3)	

<table>
<tr><th colspan="4">Grid 4: AO2a Report (Core Knowledge)</th></tr>
<tr><td>Guidance for markers</td><td colspan="3">Only the following evidence must be used to assess performance against this marking grid:
Report which outlines the proposed design of the gauge and the manufacturing process that will be used.</td></tr>
<tr><td rowspan="2">Indicative Content</td><td>AO2a – Apply core knowledge
The candidate’s:
accuracy of using of technical terminology.
technical accuracy and soundness of their proposed solution:
how it aligns to industry guidance,
the technical validity of the design and proposed manufacturing process, demonstrating that the candidate has taken into account:
dimensional limits for the gauge from the data provided in Table 1
the gauge will need to take into consideration the potential range of stainless steel tube tolerances (Table 2) that needs to be considered when manufacturing the gauge.
their technical sense and level of consideration of safety factors.
the viability of the proposed solution and whether they would realistically work within the context of the brief
consideration of quality assurance relating to the approach proposed, how it could be implemented within a process
interpretation of the information found within research and the accuracy of how this has been applied.
exploration of different options and reasoning for refining/selecting solutions, how this links back to the specifics of the brief from client.
connection and link between knowledge and understanding.
Areas of core knowledge being assessed:
Key principles and methodologies in engineering and manufacturing design (1.1).
Approaches to manufacturing, processing and control (1.3).
Drawings and information conveyed by drawings (3.1).
Number systems used in engineering and manufacturing (4.2).
Measurement equipment, techniques and principles (5.4).</td><td>AOs (marks)

AO2a (6)</td><td>Total marks available

6</td></tr>
</table>

<table>
<tr><th colspan="7">Grid 4: AO2a Report (Core Knowledge)</th></tr>
<tr><td></td><td colspan="3">• Physical and mechanical properties of materials (6.1).
• Types of material and their structures (6.2).
• Quality standards, assurance, control and improvement (11.1).
• The main requirements of key health and safety legislation applicable to engineering activities (12.1).
• Human factors within engineering and manufacturing contexts (14.3).</td><td></td><td colspan="2"></td></tr>
<tr><th colspan="7">Marking descriptors – All versions
Note: where there is insufficient evidence to award a mark, a zero mark may be given</th></tr>
<tr><th colspan="2">Band 1 descriptor</th><th colspan="2">Band 2 descriptor</th><th colspan="2">Band 3 descriptor</th></tr>
<tr><th>1</th><th>2</th><th>3</th><th>4</th><th>5</th><th>6</th></tr>
<tr><td colspan="2">Some elements of core knowledge drawn on and evidenced – limited comprehension of knowledge in relation to brief requirements e.g. brief requirements omitted indicating lack of knowledge of that area. (AO2a)

Some links to the application of core knowledge to support judgements, but connections are not always clear and accurate. (AO2a)</td><td colspan="2">Knowledge from across the core applied and evident in relation to different elements of the project brief. (AO2a)

Links to the application of core knowledge to justify and support judgements, but with some gaps or inaccuracies. Concepts explained/referenced clearly and correctly. (AO2a)</td><td colspan="2">Core knowledge applied consistently throughout response with minimal technical inaccuracies. (AO2a)

Connections between elements of core knowledge exploited to strengthen arguments and demonstrate understanding. (AO2a)</td></tr>
</table>

<table>
<tr><th colspan="4">Grid 5: AO2b Report (Core skills)</th></tr>
<tr><td>Guidance for markers</td><td colspan="3">Only the following evidence must be used to assess performance against this marking grid:
Report which outlines the proposed design of the gauge and the manufacturing process that will be used.</td></tr>
<tr><td rowspan="2">Indicative Content</td><td>AO2b – Application of core skills
Core skills being assessed:
Analysing and interpreting
judgement and reasoning in relation to the refinement of the requirements from the brief and the content within the report.
interpretation of the requirements set out in the brief and the specification and consideration of how this impacts the solution.
Developing responses
incorporation of the required aspects in the response – use of logical and synergised approach to requirements.
development of relevant evidence and data, to confirm the suitability of solution
consideration of health and safety requirements in relation to the intended use of materials and methods to design and manufacture the gauges.
Planning and preparation
evidence of planning, this is demonstrated through the structure of the report, by providing a logical and coherent response.
Communication and presentation
effectiveness of communication of refined technical requirements for the solution - clarity and conciseness of delivery.
expression of ideas in a written report.</td><td>AOs (marks)
AO2b (6)</td><td>Total marks available
6</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="3">Marking descriptors – All versions
Note: where there is insufficient evidence to award a mark, a zero mark may be given</td></tr>
</table>

Grid 5: AO2b Report (Core skills)					
Band 1 descriptor		**Band 2 descriptor**		**Band 3 descriptor**	
1	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**	**6**
Some elements of core skills drawn on and evidenced within task response - limited use of skills in relation to brief requirements. (AO2b) Response has limited logic and shows superficial coherence between different aspects of the brief. (AO2b)		A range of core skills applied and evident in task response in relation to different elements of the project brief. (AO2b) Response is logical and shows some coherence between different aspects of the brief. (AO2b)		Core skills applied consistently throughout task completion with - full range of core skills evidenced. (AO2b) Response is logical and demonstrates detailed coherence between different aspects of the brief. (AO2b)	

3. Design

<table>
<tr><th colspan="4">Grid 6: AO1, AO3 Design (Planned approach, selecting techniques)</th></tr>
<tr><td>Guidance for markers</td><td colspan="3">Only the following evidence must be used to assess performance against this marking grid:
Two orthographic dimensioned drawings (one for the external gauge and one for the internal gauge)
Design calculations
Reflective notes around how the design has evolved throughout the task.</td></tr>
<tr><td rowspan="2">Indicative Content</td><td>AO1 – Plan their approach to meeting the project brief
The candidate’s:
layout of drawings and the conformance to industry standards/best practice
inclusion of the required coverage of the requested elements within the drawings:
the overall sizes of the gauge showing length and width thickness
any handle (if used)
the material type(s) used
any markings that should be engraved on the gauge including limit sizes for the gauge and any other distinguishing marks, such as a drawing number, date of manufacture, and/or serial number
a table of the sizes (width and/or depth) of any aperture, and setting dimensions for the gauging faces for the gap gauge
a table of the sizes (diameter and length) of any diameter, for the go and no-go ends for the internal plug gauge
if the standard commercially sourced components are to be sourced, a Bill Of Materials (BOM) table is to be inserted with product details, supplier and catalogue numbers.
coherence of structure and clarity of assumptions in relation to the calculations.
AO3 – Select relevant techniques and resources to meet the brief
The candidate’s
presentation of drawings, use of recognised techniques, adherence to drawing conventions and annotations, clarity, quality, and accuracy.
use of a drawing frame for drawings, and inclusion of titling block.
consideration of industry practices and use of adopted scale when creating the sketches and drawings.</td><td>AOs (marks)
AO1 (3)
AO3 (3)</td><td>Total marks available
6</td></tr>
</table>

<table>
<tr><th colspan="6">Grid 6: AO1, AO3 Design (Planned approach, selecting techniques)</th></tr>
<tr><td></td><td colspan="3">• presentation and format of calculations e.g. use of engineering calculation sheets; including a column for references (from design standards) and results.</td><td></td><td></td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="6">Marking descriptors – All versions

Note: where there is insufficient evidence to award a mark, a zero mark may be given</td></tr>
<tr><th colspan="2">Band 1 descriptor</th><th colspan="2">Band 2 descriptor</th><th colspan="2">Band 3 descriptor</th></tr>
<tr><th>1</th><th>2</th><th>3</th><th>4</th><th>5</th><th>6</th></tr>
<tr><td colspan="2">Some evidence of a planned approach to design task, response may lack detail and calculation information. (AO1)

Some relevant techniques used in the preparation and presentation of drawings/sketches and associated calculations. (AO3)</td><td colspan="2">Approach to design and calculation information is planned, organised and complete. (AO1)

Relevant techniques and industry drawing conventions used throughout the preparation and presentation of drawings/sketches and associated calculations. (AO3)</td><td colspan="2">Approach to design and calculations fully comprehensive and in line with standard industry practices. (AO1)

Preparation and presentation of drawings/sketches and associated calculations is fully in line with industry drawing conventions showing the use of all correct techniques. (AO3)</td></tr>
</table>

<table>
<tr><th colspan="4">Grid 7: AO2a Design (Core Knowledge)</th></tr>
<tr><td>Guidance for markers</td><td colspan="3">Only the following evidence must be used to assess performance against this marking grid:
Two orthographic dimensioned drawings (one for the external gauge and one for the internal gauge)
Design calculations
Reflective notes around how the design has evolved throughout the task.</td></tr>
<tr><td rowspan="2">Indicative Content</td><td>AO2a – Apply core knowledge
The candidate’s
choice of language used in any text on the drawings, its technical level and consistency with the intended audience.
proposed solutions for the design, how well the solutions comply with industry guidance, have the potential to be implemented (it’s viability) and the technical sense of the solution specifically:
dimensions and surface finish of relevant gauge parts and components,
materials used correctly matched to components / parts,
clear indications of sizes being gauged, and
other markings required
accuracy of technical principles applied throughout the design.
ability to make links between knowledge and understanding of engineering principles and apply this to the given scenario
approach to determining calculations, selected method used to determine this and level of consideration of safety factors.
Core knowledge being assessed:
Key principles and methodologies in engineering and manufacturing design (1.1).
Approaches to manufacturing, processing and control (1.3).
Areas of innovation and emerging trends in engineering (2.3).
Drawings and information conveyed by drawings (3.1).
Dimensions and tolerancing on engineering drawings (3.2).
Applied mathematical theory in engineering applications (4.1).
Number systems used in engineering and manufacturing (4.2).
Measurement equipment, techniques and principles (5.4).
Physical and mechanical properties of materials (6.1).</td><td>AOs (marks)
AO2a (6)</td><td>Total marks available
6</td></tr>
</table>

Grid 7: AO2a Design (Core Knowledge)			
	• Quality standards, assurance, control and improvement (11.1). • Types and applications of Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) and their purposes (11.2). • Health and safety considerations in specific engineering contexts (12.5). • Human factors within engineering and manufacturing contexts (14.3).		

Marking descriptors – All versions

Note: where there is insufficient evidence to award a mark, a zero mark may be given

Band 1 descriptor		Band 2 descriptor		Band 3 descriptor	
1	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**	**6**
Some elements of core knowledge drawn on and evidenced - limited comprehension of knowledge in relation to brief requirements e.g. brief requirements omitted indicating lack of knowledge of that area. (AO2a) Supporting information details some links to the application of core knowledge to support judgements, but connections are not always clear and accurate. (AO2a)		Knowledge from across the core applied and evident in relation to different elements of the project brief. (AO2a) Supporting information details links to the application of core knowledge to justify and support judgements, but with some gaps or inaccuracies. (AO2a)		Core knowledge applied consistently throughout response with minimal technical inaccuracies. (AO2a) Connections between elements of core knowledge exploited to strengthen arguments and demonstrate understanding. (AO2a)	

<table>
<tr><th colspan="4">Grid 8: AO2b Design (Core skills)</th></tr>
<tr><td>Guidance for markers</td><td colspan="3">Only the following evidence must be used to assess performance against this marking grid:
Two orthographic dimensioned drawings (one for the external gauge and one for the internal gauge)
Design calculations
Reflective notes around how the design has evolved throughout the task.</td></tr>
<tr><td rowspan="2">Indicative Content</td><td>AO2b – Application of core skills
Core skills being assessed:
Planning and preparation
evidence of planning, this is demonstrated through the completion of the drawings, supporting calculations and the reflective notes.
Developing responses
use of draft iterations of the design to show how the design idea has developed during the completion of the tasks.
demonstration of judgement and reasoning in relation to the preparation of the solution. The aesthetics, shape and ergonomic features of the gauges.
incorporation of the required aspects in the design- use of logical and synergised approach.
Evaluating and quality assuring
refinement of design ideas to present one final design, reflection on how the design meets the requirements.
consideration of the solution and its functionality, by performing relevant calculation to consider the viability
use of reflective notes to evaluate design and how well it meets the outlined requirements.
Communication and presentation
effectiveness in communicating idea/information through drawings and associated annotations so the key features of the designs can be interpreted.
use of proportion, dimension and annotations on drawings.</td><td>AOs (marks)
AO2b (6)</td><td>Total marks available
6</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="3">Marking descriptors – All versions</td></tr>
</table>

Grid 8: AO2b Design (Core skills)					
Note: where there is insufficient evidence to award a mark, a zero mark may be given					
Band 1 descriptor		**Band 2 descriptor**		**Band 3 descriptor**	
1	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**	**6**
Some elements of core skills drawn on and evidenced within task response - limited use of skills in relation to brief requirements. (AO2b)		A range of core skills applied and evident in task response in relation to different elements of the project brief. (AO2b)		Core skills applied consistently throughout task completion with - full range of core skills evidenced. (AO2b)	

<table>
<tr><th colspan="4">Grid 9: AO5a, AO5b Design (Realise/Review)</th></tr>
<tr><td>Guidance for markers</td><td colspan="3">Only the following evidence must be used to assess performance against this marking grid:
Two orthographic dimensioned drawings (one for the external gauge and one for the internal gauge)
Design calculations
Reflective notes around how the design has evolved throughout the task.</td></tr>
<tr><td rowspan="2">Indicative Content</td><td>AO5a – realise a project outcome – was the right outcome achieved
Considering the candidate’s preferred chosen design and;
the effectiveness of the solution in relation to the context given in the project brief
the extent the solution meets the requirements of the brief.
how ‘fit for purpose’ the design is
how ‘believable’ the solution is to meet client requirements.
the feasibility of the solution presented, and the levels of amendments required.
AO5b – review how well the outcome meets the brief, how well the brief was met, the quality of the outcome in relation to the brief
The candidate’s
evaluation and review of requirements of the product design specification and recognition of how these have been met with proposed design.
development of ideas, rationale for the selected design option, clarity on which has been taken forward.
evaluation of how the final design has met the client requirements given in the product design specification.
development of ideas throughout the task, refining, improving and building upon potential solutions as they progress.</td><td>AOs (marks)

AO5a (3)

AO5b (3)</td><td>Total marks available

6</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="3">Marking descriptors – All versions

Note: where there is insufficient evidence to award a mark, a zero mark may be given</td></tr>
</table>

Grid 9: AO5a, AO5b Design (Realise/Review)					
Band 1 descriptor		**Band 2 descriptor**		**Band 3 descriptor**	
1	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**	**6**
Response partially addresses some of the task requirements. (AO5a) Evaluation and review do not clearly address how well the task outcome met the brief and lacks clarity and reasoning in places. (AO5b)		Response addresses all aspects of the task requirements. (AO5a) Evaluation and review address how well the task outcome was achieved. (AO5b)		Response fully addresses all aspects of all the elements of the task requirements. (AO5a) Evaluation and review are comprehensive and specifically addresses how well the task outcome was achieved. (AO5b)	

4. Present

<table>
<tr><th colspan="4">Grid 10: AO1, AO3 Present (Planned approach, selecting techniques)</th></tr>
<tr><td>Guidance for markers</td><td colspan="3">Only the following evidence must be used to assess performance against this marking grid:
Video recording of presentation
Presentation materials (slides, handouts, notes etc)
Presentation Q&A Record (if this cannot be heard on the video)
The presentation should cover; consideration of how your design has met the specific issues and gauge requirements identified int the project brief , a summary of your proposed design which outlines the key features (manufacturing processes, materials used, anthropometric and ergonomic considerations), challenges presented by the brief and how these have been overcome, how well your design proposal addresses the requirements of the brief, any changes you would make if repeating the project.

Audience: technical background (Production Manager from client).</td></tr>
<tr><td>Indicative Content</td><td>AO1 – Plan their approach to meeting the project brief
The candidate’s:
logic, order and coherence, of the presentation.
consideration of all aspects of the project, Task 1 – Task 4.
planning of the presentation to consider its target audience.
AO3 – Select relevant techniques and resources to meet the brief
The candidate’s:
selection and application of techniques for delivering the presentation, how appropriate and effective they are (e.g., use of slide deck, reference to notes, provision of handouts, use of other reference material).
use of positive non-verbal communication during delivery (e.g., maintaining eye contact with the audience) and the clarity of speaking/delivery, and the level of which distraction behaviour is displayed (e.g. rocking, tapping., pausing).</td><td>AOs (marks)

AO1 (3)

AO3 (3)</td><td>Total marks available

6</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="4">Marking descriptors – All versions

Note: where there is insufficient evidence to award a mark, a zero mark may be given</td></tr>
</table>

Grid 10: AO1, AO3 Present (Planned approach, selecting techniques)					
Band 1 descriptor		**Band 2 descriptor**		**Band 3 descriptor**	
1	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**	**6**
The presentation lacks structure and does not always follow a logical approach due to ineffective planning. (AO1) Technique used to deliver the presentation is sometimes effective. However technical information is not always complete and accurate. (AO3)		The presentation is structured and follows a logical approach in response to the task with evidence of planning. (AO1) Techniques used to deliver the presentation are mostly effective. The technical information provided is accurate most of the time with valid reasoning. (AO3)		The presentation is organised, structured and logical in its approach. It is clear that the presentation content has been considered in terms of its audience. (AO1) Techniques used to deliver the presentation are effective with well justified reasoning behind the information provided. (AO3)	

<table>
<tr><th colspan="4">Grid 11: AO2a Present (Core Knowledge)</th></tr>
<tr><td>Guidance for markers</td><td colspan="3">Only the following evidence must be used to assess performance against this marking grid:
Video recording of presentation
Presentation materials (slides, handouts, notes etc)
Presentation Q&A Record (if this cannot be heard on the video)
The presentation should cover; consideration of how your design has met the specific issues and gauge requirements identified int the project brief , a summary of your proposed design which outlines the key features (manufacturing processes, materials used, anthropometric and ergonomic considerations), challenges presented by the brief and how these have been overcome, how well your design proposal addresses the requirements of the brief, any changes you would make if repeating the project.

Audience: technical background (Production Manager from client).</td></tr>
<tr><td rowspan="2">Indicative Content</td><td>AO2a – Apply core knowledge
The candidate’s
judgements in the preparation of the presentation, how well they are reasoned and cover the key features of the gauges.
interpretation of the challenges within the design brief/specification and explanations as to how these have been overcome in the design presented.
outlining the measures taken to make their solution.
understanding of engineering principles which has influenced the solution and with reasoning of why these suitably meet the brief from the client.
confidence and accuracy when responding to question from the client (tutor/assessor).
use of technical language (with consideration of a technical audience).
Core knowledge being assessed:
Key principles and methodologies in engineering and manufacturing design (1.1).
Approaches to manufacturing, processing and control (1.3).
Drawings and information conveyed by drawings (3.1).
Physical and mechanical properties of materials (6.1).
The main requirements of key health and safety legislation applicable to engineering activities (12.1).
Health and safety considerations in specific engineering contexts (12.5).</td><td>AOs (marks)

AO2a (6)</td><td>Total marks available

6</td></tr>
</table>

Grid 11: AO2a Present (Core Knowledge)					
	• Human factors within engineering and manufacturing contexts (14.3).				
Marking descriptors – All versions Note: where there is insufficient evidence to award a mark, a zero mark may be given					
Band 1 descriptor		**Band 2 descriptor**		**Band 3 descriptor**	
1	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**	**6**
Engineering concepts relating to the core knowledge conveyed through the presentation - these may not always be accurate or be directly linked to the brief requirements. (AO2a) Terminology used may have inaccuracies and content provided may include inconsistencies and not clear to the target audience. (AO2a)		Engineering concepts relating to the core knowledge are coherent throughout the presentation to meet the requirements of the brief set. (AO2a) Terminology used is mostly accurate with minor errors. The content provided is mostly correct but does not always consider the target audience/ may be imbalanced or biased (e.g. to either technical or non-technical focus). (AO2a)		Engineering concepts relating to the core knowledge are coherent with clear justifications on how these are applied in response to the brief requirement. (AO2a) Terminology used is accurate and error free. The content provided is clear and easily understood by the target audience, with no bias in tone/ imbalance across audience type (where appropriate). (AO2a)	

<table>
<tr><th colspan="4">Grid 12: AO2b Present (Core skills)</th></tr>
<tr><td>Guidance for markers</td><td colspan="3">Only the following evidence must be used to assess performance against this marking grid:
Video recording of presentation
Presentation materials (slides, handouts, notes etc)
Presentation Q&A Record (if this cannot be heard on the video)
The presentation should cover; consideration of how your design has met the specific issues and gauge requirements identified int the project brief , a summary of your proposed design which outlines the key features (manufacturing processes, materials used, anthropometric and ergonomic considerations), challenges presented by the brief and how these have been overcome, how well your design proposal addresses the requirements of the brief, any changes you would make if repeating the project.

Audience: technical background (Production Manager from client).</td></tr>
<tr><td>Indicative Content</td><td>AO2b – Application of core skills
Core skills being assessed:
Developing responses
explanation of how the design has developed throughout the tasks.
incorporation of the required aspects in the response – use of logical and synergised approach to requirements
Communication and presentation
professionalism of presentation resources (slides/presentation methods.)
effectiveness in communicating the key features of the design – including fluency, accuracy, clarity and conciseness.
clarity and size of images and figures, inclusion of labels, font size.
communicated information accurately to the appropriate target audience.
Evaluation and quality assurance
justification for final design proposal
how well your design meets the requirements of the brief.
what challenges were overcome.
improvements that could be made if the project was repeated.</td><td>AOs (marks)
AO2b (6)</td><td>Total marks available
6</td></tr>
</table>

Grid 12: AO2b Present (Core skills)					
Marking descriptors – All versions Note: where there is insufficient evidence to award a mark, a zero mark may be given					
Band 1 descriptor		**Band 2 descriptor**		**Band 3 descriptor**	
1	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**	**6**
Communication of engineering concepts is sometimes effective. The delivery of technical information may lack accuracy and clarity for the target audience. (AO2b)		Engineering concepts are communicated effectively most of the time in an appropriate manner for the target audience. There are minor inaccuracies in the delivery of information which causes a lack of clarity in some instances. (AO2b)		Highly effective communication of engineering concepts is appropriate for the target audience. Technical information is presented accurately and delivered with clarity. (AO2b)	

<table>
<tr><th colspan="4">Grid 13: AO5a, AO5b Present (Realise outcome, review outcome)</th></tr>
<tr><td>Guidance for markers</td><td colspan="3">Only the following evidence must be used to assess performance against this marking grid:
Video recording of presentation
Presentation materials (slides, handouts, notes etc)
Presentation Q&A Record (if this cannot be heard on the video)
The presentation should cover; consideration of how your design has met the specific issues and gauge requirements identified int the project brief , a summary of your proposed design which outlines the key features (manufacturing processes, materials used, anthropometric and ergonomic considerations), challenges presented by the brief and how these have been overcome, how well your design proposal addresses the requirements of the brief, any changes you would make if repeating the project.

Audience: technical background (Production Manager from client).</td></tr>
<tr><td>Indicative Content</td><td>AO5a - realise a project outcome – was the right outcome achieved
The candidate’s:
effectiveness in evaluating the challenges presented by the brief and how these have been overcome.
identification of which areas of the brief were/were not satisfied.
reflections on additional aspects of research/design process they could have done, any rework of that would improve / enhance a future project outcome.
AO5b – review how well the outcome meets the brief, how well the brief was met, the quality of the outcome in relation to the brief
The candidate’s:
clarity within their evaluation and review of the challenges of the product design specification, and indications within the presentation on how these have been overcome.
clarity of explanation of how the final design addresses the requirements of the product design specification brief, including any featured considered by the candidate to be improved.
evaluation on ideas relating to how earlier tasks could be built on and indications of reasons why this has happened.</td><td>AOs (marks)

AO5a (3)

AO5b (3)</td><td>Total marks available

6</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="4">Marking descriptors – All versions</td></tr>
</table>

Grid 13: AO5a, AO5b Present (Realise outcome, review outcome)					
Note: where there is insufficient evidence to award a mark, a zero mark may be given					
Band 1 descriptor		**Band 2 descriptor**		**Band 3 descriptor**	
1	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**	**6**
Project outcome as a whole partially addresses some of the brief requirements. Articulates some challenges encountered. (AO5a) No or minimal reasons and justification in how effectively the brief was met across project tasks. (AO5b)		Project outcome as a whole address all aspects of the brief requirements. Articulates all challenges encountered and attempts to overcome them. (AO5a) There is reason and justification in how effectively some areas of the brief were met across project tasks. (AO5b)		Project outcome as a whole fully addresses all aspects of the brief requirements and considers alternative options where appropriate. Articulates fully all challenges encountered and comprehensively covers how they were overcome. (AO5a) Detailed reasoning behind how successfully the project brief was met across project tasks. (AO5b)	

Maths, English and Digital skills

<table>
<tr><th colspan="4">Grid 14: AO4a (Maths)</th></tr>
<tr><td>Guidance for markers</td><td colspan="3">Only the following evidence must be used to assess performance against this marking grid:
Research - suitable material sizes, dimensions and tolerances for the gauge (Task 1)
Report – measurement considerations of the gauges and tolerance on the stainless steel tube (Task 2)
Orthographic drawings – dimensions and scaling (Task 3)
Design calculations (Task 3)</td></tr>
<tr><td rowspan="2">Indicative Content</td><td>Task 1: Research
The candidate conducts research into the go/no-go gauges with consideration to:
potential approaches that could be used to design a gauge that meets the Gauge requirements stipulated in the project brief
suitable material sizes, dimensions, and tolerances for the gauge.
This assesses the following maths competencies:
MC2: Estimating, calculating and error spotting
MC5: Processing data
MC7: Interpreting and representing with mathematical diagrams.
Task 2: Report
The candidate must include details of your intended approach to designing an internal gauge and external gauge with consideration of:
the reason for the tolerance on the stock stainless steel tube
the type of go/no-go gauge designs that could be used for the range of sizes
why you’ve selected this design in relation to gauging the outside diameter and the internal bore.
This assesses the following maths competencies:
MC1: Measuring with precision
MC2: Estimating, calculating and error spotting
MC5: Processing data</td><td>AOs (marks)
AO4a (3)</td><td>Total marks available
3</td></tr>
</table>

Grid 14: AO4a (Maths)			
	• MC8 Communicating using mathematics **Task 3: Design** Part A: • The candidate produces orthographic dimensioned drawings for the gauges using the dimensions in Table 2. Part B: The candidate calculates: • the size limits for the 'go' aperture of the gauge and the 'no-go' side of the gauge for the entire range of the external stock tube sizes to be checked (i.e. the sizes listed in Table 2) • the size limits for the go/no-go elements of the plug gauge for the range of the internal stock tube bore sizes to be checked (i.e. the internal hole through the sizes listed in Table 2) • that they have ensured sufficient gauge depth to allow the tubes to be fully inserted into the gauge when checking the external diameter. This assesses the following maths competencies: • MC1: Measuring with precision • MC2: Estimating, calculating and error spotting • MC5: Processing data • MC7: Interpreting and representing with mathematical diagrams • MC8 Communicating using mathematics.		
Marking descriptors – All versions			
Note: where there is insufficient evidence to award a mark, a zero mark may be given			

Grid 14: AO4a (Maths)		
Band 1 descriptor	**Band 2 descriptor**	**Band 3 descriptor**
1	**2**	**3**
Limited mathematical concepts and calculations applied. (AO4a) Workings or techniques omitted as part of calculations, assumptions lack detail and full definition. Workings shown but calculation errors made/ inaccurate execution. (AO4a)	A range of mathematical concepts and calculations shown and applied appropriately. (AO4a) Working contains inaccuracies or could be more efficient (i.e. expressed in shorthand). Workings inconsistently shown. (AO4a)	Mathematical approaches and concepts applied fully and consistently. (AO4a) Calculations presented accurately and in correct format, workings shown and evidence of checking to ensure correct results (e.g. estimation workings, reverse calculation checks). (AO4a)

<table>
<tr><th colspan="4">Grid 15: AO4b (English)</th></tr>
<tr><td>Guidance for markers</td><td colspan="3">Only the following evidence must be used to assess performance against this marking grid:
Research notes (Task 1)
Report (Task 2)
Reflective notes (Task 3)
Video of presentation (Task 4)
Presentation materials to support presentation (e.g. slides etc) (Task 4)</td></tr>
<tr><td rowspan="2">Indicative Content</td><td>The candidate’s:
use of appropriate and accurate English.
clarity and articulateness of use of English to present information and ideas.
accuracy of grammar, spelling and punctuation.
use of terminology, which is technical and consistent with the intended audience (people from a technical background)
confidence in the use of language during verbal presentations, level of articulation and clarity in the delivery of information to summarise information/ideas.
Task 1: Research
This assesses the following English competencies:
EC4: Summarise information/ideas
EC5: Synthesise information
Task 2: Report
This assesses the following English competencies:
EC2: Present information and ideas
EC3: Create texts for different purposes and audiences
EC4: Summarise information/ideas
EC5: Synthesise information.
Task 3: Design
This assesses the following English competencies:</td><td>AOs (marks)
AO4b (3)</td><td>Total marks available
3</td></tr>
</table>

Grid 15: AO4b (English)			
	• EC1: Convey technical information to different audiences • EC3: Create texts for different purposes and audiences. **Task 4: Presentation** This assesses the following English competencies: • EC1: Convey technical information to different audiences • EC2: Present information and ideas • EC3: Create texts for different purposes and audiences • EC4: Summarise information/ideas • EC6: Take part in/lead discussions.		

Marking descriptors – All versions

Note: where there is insufficient evidence to award a mark, a zero mark may be given

Band 1 descriptor	Band 2 descriptor	Band 3 descriptor
1	2	3
Evidence within task responses lacks structure where outcome is partially understandable. Communication style is generally appropriate to the outcome but has some inconsistencies across tasks. (AO4b) Meaning is clear, but the language is not always fluent. Grammar and/or spelling contain errors or inconsistencies. Audibility of oral presentation is inconsistent. (AO4b)	Evidence within task responses uses conventional structure which is understandable. Communication style is appropriate to the outcome across most tasks. (AO4b) Meaning is clear, language is fluent, although the response may contain colloquialisms or jargon etc. Grammar and spelling are mainly accurate. Audibility of oral presentation is good. (AO4b)	Evidence within task responses uses a structure which makes it easy to fully understand. Communication style is appropriate to the outcome across all tasks. (AO4b) Meaning is clear, language is fluent and consistent across tasks. Grammar and spelling are consistently accurate across tasks. Deploys a range of grammatical constructions. Audibility of oral presentation is excellent. (AO4b)

<table>
<tr><th colspan="4">Grid 16: AO4c (Digital)</th></tr>
<tr><td>Guidance for markers</td><td colspan="3">Only the following evidence must be used to assess performance against this marking grid:
Types of sources used for Research (Task 1)
Report writing (Task 2)
Orthographic drawings (Task 3)
Presentation materials (slides, handouts, notes etc) (Task 4)</td></tr>
<tr><td rowspan="2">Indicative Content</td><td>The candidate’s:
use of appropriate digital resources to meet task requirements (e.g. presentation, internet research).
application of features available within digital resources where appropriate (e.g. formatting, layout, presentation modes, animations/transitions in presentation etc).
delivery of the presentation for task four using appropriate software.
range of digital options used across tasks, the extent to which they have been used to add value and their effectiveness of use.
use of current digital techniques, resources and sources in adherence with industry practice, convention and trends.
Task 1: Research
This assesses the following digital competencies:
DC1: Use of digital technology and media effectively
DC4: Process and analyse numerical data
DC5: Be safe and responsible online.
Task 2: Report
This assesses the following digital competencies:
DC2: Design, create and edit documents and digital media
DC3 Communicate and collaborate
DC4: Process and analyse numerical data
DC5: Be safe and responsible online.</td><td>AOs (marks)</td><td>Total marks available</td></tr>
<tr><td>AO4c (3)</td><td>3</td></tr>
</table>

Grid 16: AO4c (Digital)			
	Task 3: Design This assesses the following digital competencies: • DC1: Use of digital technology and media effectively • DC2: Design, create and edit documents and digital media • DC4: Process and analyse numerical data • DC6: Controlling digital functions. **Task 4: Presentation** This assesses the following digital competencies: • DC1: Use of digital technology and media effectively • DC2: Design, create and edit documents and digital media • DC3 Communicate and collaborate • DC6: Controlling digital functions.		

Marking descriptors – All versions

Note: where there is insufficient evidence to award a mark, a zero mark may be given

Band 1 descriptor	Band 2 descriptor	Band 3 descriptor
1	**2**	**3**
Digital technology attempted as part of task responses. (AO4c)	Consideration and use of basic digital options / features to strengthen task responses throughout project across tasks. (AO4c)	Digital options applied effectively in line with industry practices / best practice, demonstrating use of range of technology features. Digital techniques used effectively to add value to task responses. (AO4c)

Get in touch

City & Guilds Technicals Quality Team

We are here to answer any queries you may have regarding your T Level Technical Qualification delivery.

Should you require assistance, please contact us using the details below:

T: 0300 303 53 52 (Monday - Friday | 08:30 - 17:00 GMT)

E: **technicals.quality@cityandguilds.com**

W: cityandguilds.com/tlevels

The T Level is a qualification approved and managed by the Institute for Apprenticeships and Technical Education.

Copyright in this document belongs to, and is used under licence from, the Institute for Apprenticeships and Technical Education, © 2023. 'T-LEVELS' is a registered trademark of the Department for Education. 'T Level' is a registered trademark of the Institute for Apprenticeships and Technical Education. 'Institute for Apprenticeships & Technical Education' and logo are registered trademarks of the Institute for Apprenticeships and Technical Education.

We make every effort to ensure that the information contained in this publication is true and correct at the time of going to press. However, City & Guilds' products and services are subject to continuous development and improvement, and the right is reserved to change products and services from time to time. City & Guilds cannot accept responsibility for any loss or damage arising from the use of information in this publication.

© 2025 City & Guilds Limited (Reg No 16513878). All rights reserved. City & Guilds is a trademark of City & Guilds Limited.