

# **3748-120 (Evolve) and 3748-320 (Paper)**

## **Functional Skills Mathematics Level 2**

**Chief Examiner's report – September 2016**

## About City & Guilds

As the UK's leading vocational education organisation, City & Guilds is leading the talent revolution by inspiring people to unlock their potential and develop their skills. City & Guilds is recognised and respected by employers across the world as a sign of quality and exceptional training.

## City & Guilds Group

The City & Guilds Group operates from three major hubs: London (servicing Europe, the Caribbean and Americas), Johannesburg (servicing Africa), and Singapore (servicing Asia, Australia and New Zealand). The Group also includes the Institute of Leadership & Management (management and leadership qualifications), City & Guilds Licence to Practice (land-based qualifications) and Learning Assistant (an online e-portfolio).

## Copyright

The content of this document is, unless otherwise indicated, © The City and Guilds of London Institute and may not be copied, reproduced or distributed without prior written consent. However, approved City & Guilds centres and candidates studying for City & Guilds qualifications may photocopy this document free of charge and/or include a PDF version of it on centre intranets on the following conditions:

centre staff may copy the material only for the purpose of teaching candidates working towards a City & Guilds qualification, or for internal administration purposes

candidates may copy the material only for their own use when working towards a City & Guilds qualification

**The Standard Copying Conditions (see the City & Guilds website) also apply.**

## City & Guilds

**1 Giltspur Street**

**London EC1A 9DD**

**[www.cityandguilds.com](http://www.cityandguilds.com)**

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Overall Performance</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Recommendations/Advice for centres</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Additional Information</b>	<b>6</b>

# 1 Introduction

The purpose of this document is to provide centres with feedback on the performance of candidates for 3748-120 and 3748-320 Functional Skills Mathematics Level 2.

This report covers the period from June 2016 to August 2016. However, centres are strongly urged to review the previous Chief Examiner's report, dated January 2016, as the comments and advice still apply.

Centres should note that the assessment format for Level 2 will be undergoing some further changes which will be seen in assessments taken from November 2016. Task 1 will be more structured, planning will no longer be assessed, but reviewing is retained. Candidates will not be required to draw scale diagrams, although they will be expected to read from given scale plans and diagrams. Further information about this and other changes to our Functional skills qualifications can be found on the City & Guilds website.

## 2 Overall Performance

### 2.1 Areas of good performance

Candidates have now been sitting the remodelled papers for approximately a year following the relaunch required in October 2015. The changes in assessment content and format for Level 2 were significant, but it is apparent that more candidates are better prepared now than was the case immediately following the changes.

Better prepared candidates are coping well with the planning and reviewing sections of Task 1, and dealing with the unstructured, holistic nature of this task. Solutions to the problems set in Task 1 have been noticeably more comprehensive and sensible.

Many candidates have demonstrated good skills in producing scale plans both on paper and using the online diagram creator. The use of the diagram creator by candidates who have produced complex diagrams using this tool, suggests that many have been both encouraged to practise drawing and well instructed in its application.

Statistical problems have been dealt with competently by many candidates who can generally, at least, calculate averages and ranges accurately. Probability has been calculated and understood by a large number of candidates and more candidates have demonstrated their understanding of trend lines presented graphically.

Basic checking of calculations has been well demonstrated by most candidates. Explanations of what results mean in the context of tasks is improving. Presentations of results using summary tables, charts and graphs is generally good.

### 2.2 Areas for development

Although many candidates have been well prepared for the changes in assessment format, script marking shows that a significant number still seem to be unaware of some or all of the detail required. There have been a significant number of candidates who seem to be unprepared for, or simply unable to cope with, the demands of the Level 2 papers, particularly the unstructured nature of Task 1 and the need to select information / data, but also the practical use of scaling, ratio and proportion.

**Task 1 Planning.** The first item in Task 1 requires candidates to produce a simple plan of how they intend to tackle the task. Many candidates have simply started their calculations giving no indication of their overall strategy of how to use the source material to achieve the required outcome.

**Task 1 Review.** The last part of Task 1 requires candidates to reflect upon and evaluate the way they have tackled the task. Many candidates appear to have ignored the initial instruction: 'You need to review **how well you did** the Task' and simply read the final line: 'List three important points', thereby simply reporting stages of the calculation process. Others use generic phrases, eg 'I checked my calculations' without making specific references to the actual work they have done in the context of the task requirements.

**Introduction and source material.** In order to tackle tasks, candidates must access the instructions given in the introduction and select relevant data from the source material. A number of candidates appeared to neglect to read the detail of the requirements of each task and its overall purpose, and some clearly failed to access all required source material, especially in online versions where candidates failed to scroll down sufficiently to find data.

**Units.** Misunderstanding units, particularly relating to linear dimensions (mm, cm, m and km) and those of time, prevents some candidates from successfully completing their search for information needed to complete a task. Many candidates do not show units either in their answers or workings. Although a candidate will not be repeatedly penalised for this, the absence of units can lead to confusion for the candidate as her/his answer develops, eg when drawing scale diagrams. Many candidates ignore the need to make use of the £ sign and some give answers in incorrect money format **eg** an answer £107.30 written as £107.3 will be penalised.

**Checking.** Some candidates are still not attempting to show checks. The marks available for these steps could make the difference between a pass mark and a fail grade. Checking calculations requires candidates to use a different method from the original calculation, usually reverse calculations or approximation. Candidates must show the original calculation in their working. Some **Task 2** checking relates to the scaled lengths drawn or the interpretation of a scale plan. Candidates should be able to relate the scale used to a scaled length on a diagram. Some candidates lose marks by missing either reference to the scale or reference to the scaled length.

**Calculations.** The following are examples of calculations\* that are not understood by a number of candidates:

- percentages
- fractions
- ratio
- time, particularly addition of time and the understanding that decimal fractions of hours do not equate to minutes
- calculation of L-shaped areas
- calculation of volume
- conversions within the same system
- conversions of imperial to metric
- scaling up
- scaling down
- calculation of different averages and which average is most suitable
- calculation of probability.

\*More detailed information for calculation requirements may be found in the 'Guidance for Delivery of Functional Skills Mathematics' on the City & Guilds website.

**Presentation of results.** Many candidates who demonstrate their ability to calculate accurately to find solutions for the tasks, find some difficulty in summarising and explaining their results in the context of the task. Explanations generally need to be no more than simple statements relating to what a candidate's results show. On some occasions, a comparison of two values will explain findings and candidates should be taught to use words that indicate that comparison.

In particular, many candidates do not understand what range values show in terms of the variation / consistency of data.

Most tasks require some graphical support for, and/or summary of results. Although most candidates produce good presentations, a number of candidates lose marks for the following reasons:

#### Tables

- inadequate / no headings
- poor layout
- data inconsistent with results.

A few candidates still draw charts or graphs (for which they will be penalised) instead of the required table.

#### Scale diagrams / plans

- misunderstanding scale.

#### Charts / graphs

- fail to label axes, particularly the vertical axis
- do not construct a continuous linear scale on the vertical axis
- fail to start the vertical scale at zero (bar chart only)
- do not draw bar heights, plots or sectors accurately.

#### General

- paper based presentations are more likely to be accurate if candidates use a ruler
- online (E-volve) candidates should practise the use of the presentation tools available.

### 3 Recommendations/Advice for centres

Centres should use the 'Guidance for Delivery of Functional Skills Mathematics' (available on the City & Guilds website) in order to support teaching and learning. This Guidance provides information and examples of what is expected from Level 2 candidates.

Centres should carefully consider whether a candidate is operating at an appropriate level for entry at Level 2. Unfortunately there have been a small number of candidates who were clearly not anywhere near the standard required, eg, unable to work out one third of a given value.

There are two platforms, paper based and online, available for this assessment. Centres should ensure that an appropriate choice of platform is made for candidates based on each candidate's need and preference.

Centres should advise candidates about appropriate 'exam technique' particularly with regard to attempting Tasks in order. Candidates may attempt Tasks in any order and it may be to a candidate's advantage to start with Task 2 or 3 rather than Task 1.

Candidates who choose to access assessment online need to be prepared not only in terms of the prescribed Functional Skills Standards, but also in terms of using the E-volve platform. They must be well practiced in the use of the presentation tools (tables, diagrams, charts and graphs) but also understand how to insert sufficient text, eg to show calculations and working, so that potential compensation marks, in the event of incorrect answers, are accessible.

The importance of showing working in the paper based assessment should be stressed for the same reason.



## **4 Additional Information**

Centres should be aware that pass marks may vary from paper to paper as a result of an awarding process undertaken by City & Guilds. Any difference in pass marks reflects the perceived and actual difference in demand of the exam papers, including the source materials and the questions themselves. Therefore, it is possible that two candidates with the same score may have different overall results (pass or fail) if they sat different papers.

The indicative pass mark ranges can be found in the Functional Skills Mathematics Specification, which can be found on the City & Guilds website.