# Entry 3 Speaking, Listening and Communicating Assessment Record Sheet



Learner name	Candidate P
Enrolment number	PXZ 1234

#### Assessment Performance Summary Sheet

<b>Overall performance across Activities 1</b>	and 2		
Learners need to be meeting each specifie	d criterion on <b>most</b> occasio	ons within <b>each</b> task.	
The following descriptors must be considered when coming to a decision about if the candidate has met the requirements for a Pass. To achieve a pass for the assessment at Entry 3 the learner must generally demonstrate the requirements for the level: <ul> <li>consistently,</li> <li>effectively, and</li> <li>to an appropriate degree for Entry 3.</li> </ul> <li>Overall performance across the range of requirements for the level is secure; any insufficient demonstration of any individual subject content statement is balanced by appropriate demonstration of the same content statement elsewhere.</li>			
Candidate has achieved:			
Please indicate (tick) as applicable:	Candidate has not achieved:		
Declarations			
Assessor name	Signature	Date	
Ann Assessor	A Assessor	04-09-2019	
Internal Quality Assurer	Signature	Date	
(if sampled) Ivy Quality Assurer	I Quality Assurer	04-09-2019	
External Quality Assurer (if sampled)	Signature	Date	

## **C&G Guidance – overview**

The candidate may have achieved but there is insufficient detail and no quotes to support this. The assessor has repeated the assessment criteria and apart from a few statements there is no further detail.

It is vital that the assessor provides adequate evidence and does not disadvantage the candidate through inadequate commentaries.

If an audio or video recording is available for these assessments, the assessor can review this and add quotes to the assessment record. The evidence can then be resubmitted.

## **NOT ACHIEVED**

# Entry 3 Speaking, Listening and Communicating Evidence Sheet

Activity 1 - Extracting information and formal group discussion

Candidate's Name	<u>Candidate P</u>		_Date of activity <u>02-09-2019</u>	
Start time	09:00	Finish time _	9:12	
Straightforward topicThe plastics problem				
Group size _	<u>5</u> Details of	f group	E3 group	

A tick in the box indicates that the assessor has confirmed that the candidate has adequately demonstrated the criterion. The assessor should write down examples that the candidate has used to demonstrate the skill.

Confirm that the candidate:	Tick box	Overall comments and quotes to show how candidate met the criteria:	
SCS1 Identified and extracted relevant information and detail in straightforward explanations	Ø	Candidate P extracted information and detail. He made notes during YouTube video clip and used these in the discussion. (Notes attached). (SCS1)	
SCS2 Made requests and asked concise questions using appropriate language	V	Candidate P made requests. He asked the other group members what they thought about the problem. (SCS2) Candidate P communicated information and opinions. He said	
SCS3 Communicated information and opinions clearly	V	he thought it was a disgrace that we let the plastics fill up our rivers. (SCS3) Candidate P responded to questions from the other members of	
SCS4 Responded appropriately to questions	Ø	the group. (SCS4) Candidate P followed and understood the main points. (SCS5	
SCS5 Followed and understood the main points	V	Candidate P made relevant contributions. (SCS6)	
SCS6 Made relevant contributions	V	Candidate P listened to other points of view and responded appropriately. He respected turn-taking. (SCS7)	
SCS7 Listened to and responded appropriately to other points of view, respecting the conventions of turn-taking	Ŋ	This was a very good discussion. Well done Candidate P.	

Candidate	Signature Candidate X	Date 02-09-2019
Assessor name	Signature	Date
Ann Assessor	A Assessor	02-09-2019

2 Entry 3 Speaking, Listening and Communicating – Recording forms

## **C&G Guidance – Activity 1**

The candidate may have achieved Activity 1 but there are only limited quotes to support this. The notes that the candidate took during the video do not add to the SLC element of the assessment unless there is clear evidence of how the notes were used e.g. quotations of what was said. The assessor has repeated the assessment criteria rather than given examples which is not acceptable as evidence.

## Entry 3 Speaking, Listening and Communicating Evidence Sheet

# Activity 2 - Informal group discussion

Candidate's Name	<u>Candidate P</u>	Date of	factivity 03-09-2019	
Start time	<u>10:30</u>	Finish time	10.40	
Straightforward topicThe benefits of Social Media				
Group size <u>6</u>	Details of	group_ <u>Entry 3</u>		

A tick in the box indicates that the assessor has confirmed that the candidate has adequately demonstrated the criterion. The assessor should write down examples that the candidate has used to demonstrate the skill.

Confirm that the candidate:	Tick box	Give examples:
SCS2 Made requests and asked concise questions using appropriate language	Ŋ	Candidate P made requests and asked concise questions using appropriate language. (SCS2) He communicated information and opinions clearly.
SCS3 Communicated information and opinions clearly	Ŋ	(SCS3) He responded appropriately to questions. (SCS4)
SCS4 Responded appropriately to questions	V	He followed and understood the main points. (SCS5)
SCS5 Followed and understood the main points	Ŋ	He made relevant contributions. (SCS6) 'He listened and responded appropriately to other point s of
SCS6 Made relevant contributions	V	view. (SCS7)
SCS7 Listened to and responded appropriately to other points of view, respecting the conventions of turn-taking	Z	He respected turn-taking. (SCS7) This was lively discussion with plenty of detail given. Well done, Candidate P.

Candidate	Signature	Date
	Candidate X	03-09-2019
Assessor name	Signature	Date
A ASSESSOR	A Assessor	03-09-2019

## C&G Guidance – Activity 2

There is no evidence of how the candidate met the criteria. The assessor has simply copied the criteria and added a comment regarding the detail without evidencing what was said. The assessor may find it easier to work with a smaller group. It is difficult to observe and write down quotes for six learners. The other learners could be preparing for their own discussion (on a different topic) or completing other work. Alternatively, they could act as peer observers and the assessor could assign one participant to each peer observer.