

4800-121

**Essential Skills Application of Number
Level 2**

Chief Examiners' report – March 2017

About City & Guilds

As the UK's leading vocational education organisation, City & Guilds is leading the talent revolution by inspiring people to unlock their potential and develop their skills. City & Guilds is recognised and respected by employers across the world as a sign of quality and exceptional training.

City & Guilds Group

The City & Guilds Group operates from three major hubs: London (servicing Europe, the Caribbean and Americas), Johannesburg (servicing Africa), and Singapore (servicing Asia, Australia and New Zealand). The Group also includes the Institute of Leadership & Management (management and leadership qualifications), City & Guilds Licence to Practice (land-based qualifications) and Learning Assistant (an online e-portfolio).

Copyright

The content of this document is, unless otherwise indicated, © The City and Guilds of London Institute and may not be copied, reproduced or distributed without prior written consent. However, approved City & Guilds centres and candidates studying for City & Guilds qualifications may photocopy this document free of charge and/or include a PDF version of it on centre intranets on the following conditions:

centre staff may copy the material only for the purpose of teaching candidates working towards a City & Guilds qualification, or for internal administration purposes

candidates may copy the material only for their own use when working towards a City & Guilds qualification

The Standard Copying Conditions (see the City & Guilds website) also apply.

City & Guilds

1 Giltspur Street

London EC1A 9DD

www.cityandguilds.com

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Overall Performance	3
3	Recommendations/Advice for centres	6
4	Additional Information	6

1 Introduction

The purpose of this document is to provide centres with feedback on the performance of candidates for 4800-121 Essential Skills Application of Number Level 2.

This report covers the period from the introduction of the qualification in January 2017 to March 2017.

2 Overall Performance

2.1 Areas of good performance

Candidates have generally been achieving higher marks on tasks covering curriculum areas of *Number* and *Handling Data* than on *Measures, Shape and Space*.

A good level of basic numeracy skills has been demonstrated on both tasks. Candidates have shown particular proficiency at selecting and calculating suitable averages for sets of data.

Most candidates have also shown a good understanding of fractions, percentages and the calculation of ranges. Numerical skills have also been used effectively for solving problems and calculating lengths.

Basic probability has been successfully calculated as a fraction by a high number of candidates. The presentation of results using charts has also been carried out extremely well.

2.2 Areas for development

There have been a significant number of candidates unable to show understanding on several areas of the Level 2 papers.

Formula. A large number of candidates are struggling to score higher marks for questions on formula. There appear to be some gaps in the candidates' knowledge of algebra which is preventing them from scoring higher marks for these questions. Many candidates are also performing their calculations in the wrong order which is limiting the marks that they can achieve. (ie failing to apply BODMAS rules)

Checking. A large number of candidates are not even attempting to perform a check of a previous calculation. This is another area which candidates can, with simple preparation, almost guarantee an additional mark on their paper. Checking calculations requires candidates to use a different method from the original calculation, usually reverse calculations or approximation. Candidates must show the original calculation in their working. The candidates can also be asked to carry out a check which relates to the interpretation of a scale plan. Candidates should be able to explain their use of scale by relating the scale used to the actual and scaled length on a diagram. Some candidates lose marks by missing either reference to the scale or reference to the scaled length.

Probability. Whilst most candidates are competent at working out a probability in terms of a fraction, there are few who can construct and interpret a tree diagram. They must be able to draw and accurately label a tree diagram before using its values to calculate probability. There are also very few candidates who have shown correct understanding of probability scales. These must be labelled from 0 to 1 whereas most candidates are giving an upper value in excess of 1.

Explanations. Candidates are struggling to provide satisfactory explanations for their choice of data averages. Reference is too often being made to the ease of calculation or to the details of the calculation method. Candidates need, instead, to be confident at knowing the benefits of choice based on points which reference outliers or all test data being used for example. On some occasions, a comparison of two values will explain findings and candidates should be taught to use words that indicate comparison, eg 'the highest average'. Interpretation of the range is also poorly attempted with candidates unable to make accurate statements on the consistency of data.

Ratio on scale. The use of ratio to describe the scale on diagrams is proving challenging for some candidates to understand. It is important that the candidates are prepared for the scale being presented in this way.

Area. Whilst most candidates were able to calculate the area of a rectangle, only a small number have been able to calculate the area of an L-shape. An understanding of just one method for calculating this shape would have increased a large number of marks totals by one to three marks.

Conversions within the same system. Very few candidates were able to convert a measurement given in centimetres to metres.

Generally candidates should approach each task as a whole with the view that earlier parts of a task may inform later parts.

Units. Some candidates are giving answers in incorrect money format, eg an answer of £73.50 written as £73.5 will be penalised.

The presentation of results in charts has been done extremely well by most candidates. However, there are common reasons why some candidates fail to achieve full marks for charts:

Charts / graphs

- fail to label axes, particularly the vertical axis
- do not construct a continuous linear scale on the vertical axis
- fail to start the vertical scale at zero (bar chart only)

Trend lines

- generally a straight line drawn between graph plots with approximately the same number of plots either side of the line will suffice. Many candidates draw a line with the correct gradient but position it with the vast majority of points either above or below the line.

General

- paper based presentations are more likely to be accurate if candidates use a ruler.

3 Recommendations/Advice for centres

Centres should carefully consider whether a candidate is operating at an appropriate level for entry at Level 2. Unfortunately there have been a small number of candidates who were clearly not anywhere near the standard required.

There has been a lack of working out shown on a significant number of papers. Candidates who have failed to provide a correct answer are, therefore, missing out on compensation marks. It is recommended that the candidates show as much working out as possible.

Some candidates are using the extra space for workings and answers at the back of the exam papers. It is advisable that the candidates indicate when they have done this on the relevant answer section of the paper.

Centres should advise candidates about appropriate 'exam technique' particularly with regard to attempting Tasks in order. Candidates may attempt Tasks in any order and it may be to a candidate's advantage to start with Task 2 or 3 rather than Task 1.

4 Additional Information

Centres should be aware that pass marks may vary from paper to paper as a result of an awarding process undertaken by City & Guilds. Any difference in pass marks reflects the perceived and actual difference in demand of the exam papers. Therefore, it is possible that two candidates with the same score may have different overall results (pass or fail) if they sat different papers.

For further information about the Level 2 coverage and range, please refer to the qualification specifications and assessment criteria (section 5 of our Essential Skills qualifications handbook). These criteria are common to all awarding organisations' Essential Skills qualifications, and were developed by CCEA Regulation.

Whilst the technical content and demand of the Essential Skills qualifications align with the Department for the Economy (DfE) Essential Skills Standards and Curriculum, it is important to bear in mind that the qualifications explicitly require candidates to demonstrate purposeful application of their numeracy skills.

Our Essential Skills qualifications handbook and the DfE Essential Skills Standards and Curriculum document can both be accessed from our **Essential Skills (4800) qualification documents webpage**.